

4 More on Brownian rotations

4a Moment method on the circle

We return to the process $Y_t = \exp(i\sigma B_t + ivt)$, $\sigma, v \in \mathbb{R}$ (as in 3a23). The distribution of Y_t is easy to describe explicitly by a density: for $0 < u < v < 2\pi$,

$$(4a1) \quad \mathbb{P}(Y_t \in e^{i[u,v]}) = \int_u^v p_t(x) dx,$$

$$p_t(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}|\sigma|\sqrt{t}} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{(x-vt+2\pi k)^2}{2\sigma^2 t}\right)$$

(unless $\sigma = 0$, of course); here $e^{i[u,v]}$ denotes the arc $\{e^{ix} : u \leq x \leq v\}$. This approach, however, does not work for more general situations of 3c, when Y_t may depend on the past of (X_s) . This is why we turn to the moment method.

For any random variable $U : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{T} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$, the distribution μ of U is uniquely determined by its moments

$$\mathbb{E}U^k = \int_{\mathbb{T}} u^k \mu(du) \in \mathbb{C}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

since functions $1, \cos kx, \sin kx$ span the space of continuous 2π -periodic functions.

For any $k = 1, 2, \dots$ the process $(Y_t^k)_t$ is another Brownian motion in \mathbb{T} , and

$$\mathbb{E}Y_t^k = \mathbb{E} \exp(ik\sigma B_t + ikvt) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}k^2\sigma^2 t\right) e^{ikvt} = \exp\left(\left(-\frac{1}{2}k^2\sigma^2 + ikv\right)t\right).$$

By the way, for $t \rightarrow \infty$ we get $\mathbb{E}Y_t^k \rightarrow 0$ (unless $\sigma = 0$), which means that the distribution converges (weakly) to the uniform distribution on \mathbb{T} . (Do you see it via (4a1)?)

4b Tensor moments of random matrices

4b1 Exercise. The distribution of a random matrix $U : \Omega \rightarrow \text{SO}(n)$, in general, is not determined uniquely by the matrices $\mathbb{E}U^k$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$

Prove it (by finding a counterexample).

Hint: restrict yourself to diagonal matrices.

4b2 Definition. For any matrices $A \in M_m(\mathbb{R})$, $B \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$, their *tensor product* $A \otimes B \in M_{mn}(\mathbb{R})$ is

$$(A \otimes B)_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,\delta} = A_{\alpha}^{\gamma} B_{\beta}^{\delta} \quad \text{for } \alpha, \gamma \in \{1, \dots, m\} \text{ and } \beta, \delta \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

You see, rows and columns of $A \otimes B$ are numbered by $\{1, \dots, m\} \times \{1, \dots, n\}$ rather than $\{1, \dots, mn\}$. The freedom of enumerating the pairs does not influence algebraic relations between matrices. An example:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} e & f \\ g & h \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ae & af & be & bf \\ ag & ah & bg & bh \\ ce & cf & de & df \\ cg & ch & dg & dh \end{pmatrix}$$

(which enumeration is used here?).

Tensor product of several matrices is defined similarly. In particular,

$$A^{\otimes k} = \underbrace{A \otimes \cdots \otimes A}_k.$$

4b3 Exercise. For any random matrix $U : \Omega \rightarrow \text{SO}(n)$, the distribution μ of U is uniquely determined by its tensor moments

$$\mathbb{E}U^{\otimes k} = \int_{\text{SO}(n)} u^{\otimes k} \mu(du) \in M_{n^k}(\mathbb{R}).$$

Prove it.

Hint: polynomials are dense among continuous functions on $\text{SO}(n)$.

4b4 Exercise. (a) $(A \otimes B)(x \otimes y) = (Ax) \otimes (By)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $A \in M_m(\mathbb{R})$, $B \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$. Here $x \otimes y \in \mathbb{R}^{mn}$ is defined by

$$(x \otimes y)_{\alpha,\beta} = x_\alpha y_\beta \quad \text{for } \alpha \in \{1, \dots, m\}, \beta \in \{1, \dots, n\}$$

(up to enumeration...). Similarly, $(x \otimes y)(A \otimes B) = (xA) \otimes (yB)$.

(b) $(A \otimes B)(C \otimes D) = (AC) \otimes (BD)$ for all $A, C \in M_m(\mathbb{R})$, $B, D \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$.

Prove it.

Note that factorizable vectors $x \otimes y$ are not the whole \mathbb{R}^{mn} , but span \mathbb{R}^{mn} .

4b5 Exercise. $\text{SO}(m) \otimes \text{SO}(n) \subset \text{SO}(mn)$.

Prove it.

Hint: $\langle (x_1 \otimes y_1)(U \otimes V), (x_2 \otimes y_2)(U \otimes V) \rangle = \langle x_1 \otimes y_1, x_2 \otimes y_2 \rangle$.

4b6 Exercise. (a) If $(U_t)_{t \in [0, \infty)}$ is a one-parameter semigroup in $M_n(\mathbb{R})$, then $(U_t \otimes U_t)_{t \in [0, \infty)}$ is a one-parameter semigroup in $M_{n^2}(\mathbb{R})$.

(b) If A is the generator of $(U_t)_{t \in [0, \infty)}$ then

$$(A \otimes \mathbf{1}) + (\mathbf{1} \otimes A)$$

is the generator of $(U_t \otimes U_t)_t$.

Prove it. Generalize it to $U_t^{\otimes k}$.

Hint. (a): use 4b4(b); (b): $(\mathbf{1} + At + o(t)) \otimes (\mathbf{1} + At + o(t)) = \dots$

In other words,

$$(4b7) \quad \exp(A \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes A) = \exp(A) \otimes \exp(A).$$

In fact, $\exp(A \otimes \mathbf{1}) = \exp(A) \otimes \mathbf{1}$ and $\exp(\mathbf{1} \otimes B) = \mathbf{1} \otimes \exp(B)$, thus $\exp(A \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes B) = \exp(A) \otimes \exp(B)$. Operators $A \otimes \mathbf{1}$ form an algebra isomorphic to $M_n(\mathbb{R})$; operators $\mathbf{1} \otimes B$ form another algebra isomorphic to $M_n(\mathbb{R})$; these are two *commuting* copies of $M_n(\mathbb{R})$ in $M_{n^2}(\mathbb{R})$.

4c Tensor powers of Brownian rotations

4c1 Exercise. (a) If $(Y_t)_t$ is a Brownian motion in $\text{SO}(n)$ then $(Y_t^{\otimes k})_t$ is a Brownian motion in $\text{SO}(n^k)$, for any $k = 1, 2, \dots$

(b) If $(B_t, Y_t)_t$ is a morphism of the standard Brownian motion $(B_t)_t$ in \mathbb{R} to a Brownian motion in $\text{SO}(n)$, then $(B_t, Y_t^{\otimes k})_t$ is a morphism of $(B_t)_t$ to a Brownian motion in $\text{SO}(n^k)$.

Prove it.

Hint: use 4b4(b) and 4b5.

In fact, the product of *commuting* Brownian rotations is a Brownian rotation. The same holds for morphisms.

4c2 Exercise. If $(Y_t)_t$ is a Brownian motion in $\text{SO}(n)$ then $(\mathbb{E}Y_t)_t$ is a (continuous) one-parameter semigroup in $M_n(\mathbb{R})$.

Prove it.

Hint: $\mathbb{E}(AB) = (\mathbb{E}A)(\mathbb{E}B)$ for independent random matrices A, B .

We have

$$(4c3) \quad \mathbb{E}(Y_t^{\otimes k}) = \exp(A_k t) \quad \text{for } t \in [0, \infty), k = 1, 2, \dots$$

where $A_k \in M_{n^k}(\mathbb{R})$ is the generator of the semigroup.

4c4 Example. The isomorphism $e^{i\alpha} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha & \sin \alpha \\ -\sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \end{pmatrix}$ between \mathbb{T} and $\text{SO}(2)$ (mentioned before 3b8) turns e^{iB_t} into

$$Y_t = \begin{pmatrix} \cos B_t & \sin B_t \\ -\sin B_t & \cos B_t \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SO}(2).$$

We have

$$\mathbb{E}Y_t = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-t/2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-t/2} \end{pmatrix} = \exp(A_1 t), \quad A_1 = -\frac{1}{2} \cdot \mathbf{1},$$

since $\mathbb{E}e^{iB_t} = e^{-t/2}$. Further,

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t \otimes Y_t &= \begin{pmatrix} \cos^2 B_t & \cos B_t \sin B_t & \sin B_t \cos B_t & \sin^2 B_t \\ -\cos B_t \sin B_t & \cos^2 B_t & -\sin^2 B_t & \sin B_t \cos B_t \\ -\sin B_t \cos B_t & -\sin^2 B_t & \cos^2 B_t & \cos B_t \sin B_t \\ \sin^2 B_t & -\sin B_t \cos B_t & -\cos B_t \sin B_t & \cos^2 B_t \end{pmatrix} = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \cos 2B_t & \sin 2B_t & \sin 2B_t & 1 - \cos 2B_t \\ -\sin 2B_t & 1 + \cos 2B_t & -1 + \cos 2B_t & \sin 2B_t \\ -\sin 2B_t & -1 + \cos 2B_t & 1 + \cos 2B_t & \sin 2B_t \\ 1 - \cos 2B_t & -\sin 2B_t & -\sin 2B_t & 1 + \cos 2B_t \end{pmatrix}; \\ \mathbb{E}(Y_t \otimes Y_t) &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 + e^{-2t} & 0 & 0 & 1 - e^{-2t} \\ 0 & 1 + e^{-2t} & -1 + e^{-2t} & 0 \\ 0 & -1 + e^{-2t} & 1 + e^{-2t} & 0 \\ 1 - e^{-2t} & 0 & 0 & 1 + e^{-2t} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

(it must be a semigroup). For small t ,

$$\mathbb{E}(Y_t \otimes Y_t) = \begin{pmatrix} 1-t & 0 & 0 & t \\ 0 & 1-t & -t & 0 \\ 0 & -t & 1-t & 0 \\ t & 0 & 0 & 1-t \end{pmatrix} + o(t) = 1 + A_2 t + o(t),$$

$$A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

thus $\mathbb{E}(Y_t \otimes Y_t) = \exp(A_2 t)$.

4c5 Exercise. Let

$$Y_t = \text{Texp} \left(i \int_0^t (\sigma dB_s + v ds) \right)$$

for some $\sigma, v \in iM_n(\mathbb{R})$, $\sigma^* = \sigma$, $v^* = v$. Then

$$Y_t \otimes Y_t = \text{Texp} \left(i \int_0^t (\sigma \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes \sigma) dB_s + (v \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes v) ds \right).$$

Prove it. Generalize it for $Y_t^{\otimes k}$.

Hint. According to 4c1(b) and 3b, it must be $Y_t \otimes Y_t = \text{Texp}(i \int_0^t (\sigma_2 dB_s + v_2 ds))$ for some σ_2, v_2 ; for small t we get $\exp(i\sigma_2 B_t + iv_2 t) = \exp(i\sigma B_t + ivt) \otimes \exp(i\sigma B_t + ivt) + o(t)$; recall (4b7).

In fact,

$$\left(\text{Texp} \left(i \int_0^t dX \right) \right) \left(\text{Texp} \left(i \int_0^t dY \right) \right) = \text{Texp} \left(i \int_0^t d(X + Y) \right)$$

whenever X, Y commute.

4c6 Exercise. Let

$$Y_t = \text{Texp} \left(i \int_0^t (\sigma dB_s + v ds) \right)$$

for some $\sigma, v \in iM_n(\mathbb{R})$, $\sigma^* = \sigma$, $v^* = v$. Then

$$A_1 = -\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 + iv,$$

$$A_2 = -\frac{1}{2}(\sigma \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes \sigma)^2 + i(v \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes v) =$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2}(\sigma^2 \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes \sigma^2) - \sigma \otimes \sigma + i(v \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes v),$$

where A_k are defined by (4c3).

Prove it.

Hint: first, find A_1 by using the asymptotics of Y_t for small t ; second, apply the formula for A_1 to $Y_t \otimes Y_t$ using 4c5.

4c7 Example. Let Y_t be as in 4c4, then $i\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is the image of $i \in \mathbb{C}$ under the embedding $z \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Re} z & \operatorname{Im} z \\ -\operatorname{Im} z & \operatorname{Re} z \end{pmatrix}$ (mentioned before 3b8), and $v = 0$. Using 4c6,

$$(i\sigma)^2 = -\mathbf{1}; \quad A_1 = -\frac{1}{2} \cdot \mathbf{1};$$

$$\sigma^2 \otimes \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}; \quad \mathbf{1} \otimes \sigma^2 = \mathbf{1}; \quad \sigma \otimes \sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix};$$

$$A_2 = -\mathbf{1} - \sigma \otimes \sigma = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix};$$

the result conforms to 4c4.

Similarly,

$$A_3 = -\frac{1}{2}(\sigma \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes \sigma \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \sigma)^2 + i(v \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes v \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes v)$$

and so on. Having all A_k we know (in principle) all tensor moments of Y_t , therefore, the distribution of Y_t (for any t), according to 4b3.

However, $\operatorname{Texp}(i \int (\sigma dB_s + v ds))$ is only a special case of

$$(4c8) \quad Y_t = \operatorname{Texp} \left(i \int_0^t (\sigma_1 dB_1(s) + \cdots + \sigma_m dB_m(s) + v ds) \right)$$

(recall 3c). By a straightforward generalization of 4c1(b), 4c5, 4c6 we get

$$Y_t \otimes Y_t = \operatorname{Texp} \left(i \int_0^t ((\sigma_1 \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes \sigma_1) dB_1(s) + \cdots + (\sigma_m \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes \sigma_m) dB_m(s) + (v \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes v) ds) \right);$$

(4c9)

$$A_1 = -\frac{1}{2}(\sigma_1^2 + \cdots + \sigma_m^2) + iv;$$

$$A_2 = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^m (\sigma_k \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes \sigma_k)^2 + i(v \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes v);$$

$$A_3 = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^m (\sigma_k \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes \sigma_k \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \sigma_k)^2 + i(v \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes v \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes v);$$

and so on.

4d Not just morphisms

We have a satisfactory theory of morphisms. What about a theory of Brownian rotations? Two questions arise naturally.

4d1. Whether *every* Brownian motion in $\text{SO}(n)$ is of the form (4c8), or not?

4d2. Given two morphisms of the form (4c8), how to decide, whether they give two *identically distributed* Brownian motions in $\text{SO}(n)$, or not?

No doubt that two morphisms can represent the same Brownian rotation (I mean, the same distribution). For example,

$$Y_1(t) = \text{Texp} \left(i \int_0^t (\sigma dB_s + v ds) \right),$$

$$Y_2(t) = \text{Texp} \left(i \int_0^t (-\sigma dB_s + v ds) \right).$$

These two morphisms $B \rightarrow Y_1$, $B \rightarrow Y_2$ are connected by an automorphism of B , that is, an isomorphism (invertible morphism) $B \rightarrow B$; namely, $(B_t, -B_t)_t$. For the two-dimensional $(B_1(t), B_2(t))_t$ we may use the automorphism

$$\left((B_1(t), B_2(t)), (B_1(t) \cos \alpha + B_2(t) \sin \alpha, -B_1(t) \sin \alpha + B_2(t) \cos \alpha) \right)_t$$

where α is a parameter; we get a continuum of morphisms

$$Y_\alpha(t) = \text{Texp} \left(i \int_0^t ((\sigma_1 \cos \alpha - \sigma_2 \sin \alpha) dB_1(s) + (\sigma_1 \sin \alpha + \sigma_2 \cos \alpha) dB_2(s) + v ds) \right)$$

such that the distribution of Y_α does not depend on the parameter α . More generally, every rotation of \mathbb{R}^m (namely, every element of $\text{O}(\mathbb{R}^m)$) gives us an automorphism of the standard Brownian motion $(B_1(t), \dots, B_m(t))_t$ in \mathbb{R}^m .

There exist also morphisms of (B_1, B_2) to B_1 ; here are two examples:

$$\left((B_1(t), B_2(t)), B_1(t) \right)_t;$$

$$\left((B_1(t), B_2(t)), \frac{B_1(t) + B_2(t)}{\sqrt{2}} \right)_t.$$

Accordingly, the Brownian rotations

$$Y_1(t) = \text{Texp} \left(i \int_0^t \left(\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{2}} dB_1(s) + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{2}} dB_2(s) + v ds \right) \right),$$

$$Y_2(t) = \text{Texp} \left(i \int_0^t (\sigma dB(s) + v ds) \right)$$

are identically distributed.

4e Uniqueness theorem

Generators A_k (introduced by (4c3)) depend on the distribution of Y (not on a morphism), and determine uniquely the distribution by 4b3. It is an answer to 4d2: given two morphisms, calculate their A_1, A_2, \dots by (4c9) and compare them.

Fortunately, it is enough to compare A_1, A_2 only! They determine uniquely A_3, A_4, \dots . Indeed, knowing $A_1 = -\frac{1}{2}(\sigma_1^2 + \dots + \sigma_m^2) + iv$ we know both v (since $A_1 - A_1^* = 2iv$), and $\sum \sigma_k^2$. Knowing also A_2 we know $\sum (\sigma_k \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes \sigma_k)^2 = (\sum \sigma_k^2) \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes (\sum \sigma_k^2) + 2 \sum \sigma_k \otimes \sigma_k$, thus, we know $\sum \sigma_k \otimes \sigma_k$. Now, in order to find A_3 we need $\sum (\sigma_k \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes \sigma_k \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \sigma_k)^2 = (\sum \sigma_k^2) \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes (\sum \sigma_k^2) \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes (\sum \sigma_k^2) + 2 \sum \sigma_k \otimes \sigma_k \otimes \mathbf{1} + 2 \sum \sigma_k \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \sigma_k + 2 \sum \mathbf{1} \otimes \sigma_k \otimes \sigma_k$, and it is enough to find the three sums $\sum \sigma_k \otimes \sigma_k \otimes \mathbf{1}$, $\sum \sigma_k \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \sigma_k$ and $\sum \mathbf{1} \otimes \sigma_k \otimes \sigma_k$. No problems with the first and the third sum,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum \sigma_k \otimes \sigma_k \otimes \mathbf{1} &= \left(\sum \sigma_k \otimes \sigma_k \right) \otimes \mathbf{1}, \\ \sum \mathbf{1} \otimes \sigma_k \otimes \sigma_k &= \mathbf{1} \otimes \left(\sum \sigma_k \otimes \sigma_k \right). \end{aligned}$$

The second sum $\sum \sigma_k \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \sigma_k$ looks worse, but results from $\sum \sigma_k \otimes \sigma_k$, too:

$$\left(\sum \sigma_k \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \sigma_k \right)_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{\delta\epsilon\zeta} = \sum (\sigma_k)_\alpha^\delta (\mathbf{1})_\beta^\epsilon (\sigma_k)_\gamma^\zeta = (\mathbf{1})_\beta^\epsilon \left(\sum \sigma_k \otimes \sigma_k \right)_{\alpha\gamma}^{\delta\zeta}.$$

We see that A_1, A_2 determine A_3 uniquely. The same holds for A_4, A_5, \dots and we get a good answer to 4d2.

4e1 Theorem. (a) The distribution of the Brownian motion $(Y_t)_t$ in $\text{SO}(n)$ given by

$$Y_t = \text{Texp} \left(i \int_0^t (\sigma_1 dB_1(s) + \dots + \sigma_m dB_m(s) + v ds) \right)$$

uniquely determines generators $A_1 \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$, $A_2 \in M_{n^2}(\mathbb{R})$ of the semigroups $(\mathbb{E} Y_t)_t$, $(\mathbb{E} (Y_t \otimes Y_t))_t$ and is uniquely determined by A_1, A_2 .

(b) The same for the two matrices

$$iv \in M_n(\mathbb{R}), \quad \sum_{k=1}^m \sigma_k \otimes \sigma_k \in M_{n^2}(\mathbb{R}).$$

4f Differential operators on the rotation group

The two matrices mentioned in 4e1(b) have an important meaning in terms of differential operators on $\text{SO}(n)$.

A smooth function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has at 0 its gradient (first differential) vector $\nabla f(0) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, namely $(\nabla f(0))_\alpha = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha} \Big|_{x=0} f(x)$, and its matrix of second derivatives $\nabla^2 f(0) \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$, namely $(\nabla^2 f(0))_\alpha^\beta = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_\alpha \partial x_\beta} \Big|_{x=0} f(x)$. The situation is somewhat more complicated for a smooth function $f : \text{SO}(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on the smooth manifold $\text{SO}(n)$ of dimension n^2 . Given such f , we define $\nabla f(\mathbf{1}) \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ by

$$(4f1) \quad (\nabla f(\mathbf{1}))_\alpha^\beta = \frac{\partial}{\partial A_\alpha^\beta} \Big|_{A=0} f(\exp A);$$

the matrix $A \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ may be treated as n^2 variables, and A_α^β is one of these variables. Similarly we define $\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{1}) \in M_{n^2}(\mathbb{R})$ by

$$(4f2) \quad (\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{1}))_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,\delta} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial A_\alpha^\gamma \partial A_\beta^\delta} \Big|_{A=0} f(\exp A).$$

4f3 Exercise.

$$f(\exp A) = f(\mathbf{1}) + \langle \nabla f(\mathbf{1}), A \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{1}), A \otimes A \rangle + o(\|A\|^2)$$

for $\|A\| \rightarrow 0$; here $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ means¹

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A, B \rangle &= \sum_{\alpha,\beta} A_\alpha^\beta B_\alpha^\beta \quad \text{for } A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{R}), \\ \langle A, B \rangle &= \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta} A_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,\delta} B_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,\delta} \quad \text{for } A, B \in M_{n^2}(\mathbb{R}). \end{aligned}$$

Prove it.

The following fact generalizes equalities

$$\begin{aligned} f(B_t) &= f(0) + f'(0)B_t + \frac{1}{2}f''(0)B_t^2 + o(t), \\ \mathbb{E}f(B_t) &= f(0) + \frac{1}{2}f''(0)t + o(t) \end{aligned}$$

from $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ to $f : \text{SO}(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. A matrix $D \in M_{n^2}(\mathbb{R})$ defined by

$$(4f4) \quad D = - \sum_k \sigma_k \otimes \sigma_k$$

will be very useful.

4f5 Exercise. Let $(Y_t)_t$ be given by (4c8); then

$$\begin{aligned} f(Y_t) &= f(\mathbf{1}) + \sum \langle \nabla f(\mathbf{1}), i\sigma_k \rangle B_k(t) + \langle \nabla f(\mathbf{1}), iv \rangle t - \frac{1}{2} \sum \langle \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{1}), \sigma_k \otimes \sigma_k \rangle B_k^2(t) + o(t), \\ \mathbb{E}f(Y_t) &= f(\mathbf{1}) + (\langle \nabla f(\mathbf{1}), iv \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{1}), D \rangle) t + o(t) \end{aligned}$$

for $t \rightarrow 0$.

Prove it.

We generalize (4f1), (4f2) as follows: for $U \in \text{SO}(n)$,

$$(4f6) \quad \begin{aligned} (\nabla f(U))_\alpha^\beta &= \frac{\partial}{\partial A_\alpha^\beta} \Big|_{A=0} f(U \exp A); \\ (\nabla^2 f(U))_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,\delta} &= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial A_\alpha^\gamma \partial A_\beta^\delta} \Big|_{A=0} f(U \exp A). \end{aligned}$$

¹In other words, $\langle A, B \rangle = \text{tr}(AB^*)$.

In other words,

$$(4f7) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla f(U) &= \nabla g(\mathbf{1}), & \nabla^2 f(U) &= \nabla^2 g(\mathbf{1}), \\ & \text{where } g(V) = f(UV) \text{ for all } V. \end{aligned}$$

We consider the convolution semigroup $(\mu_t)_t$ corresponding to $(Y_t)_t$; that is, $Y_t \sim \mu_t$. We define the convolution of a function and a measure (on $\text{SO}(n)$) by

$$(f * \mu)(U) = \int f(UV) \mu(dV),$$

then $\mathbb{E}f(Y_t) = \int f d\mu_t = (f * \mu_t)(\mathbf{1})$, and 4f5 becomes

$$(f * \mu_t)(\mathbf{1}) = f(\mathbf{1}) + (\langle \nabla f(\mathbf{1}), iv \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{1}), D \rangle) t + o(t).$$

4f8 Exercise. For any $U \in \text{SO}(n)$,

$$(f * \mu_t)(U) = f(U) + (\langle \nabla f(U), iv \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle \nabla^2 f(U), D \rangle) t + o(t)$$

for $t \rightarrow 0$.

Prove it.

Hint: use (4f7) and apply 4f5 to g .

4f9 Exercise. Denote $f_t = f * \mu_t$, then

$$f_{t+\Delta t}(U) = f_t(U) + (\langle \nabla f_t(U), iv \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle \nabla^2 f_t(U), D \rangle) \Delta t + o(\Delta t)$$

for $\Delta t \rightarrow 0+$ (and $t = \text{const}$).

Prove it.

Hint: apply 4f8 to f_t .

The simplest functions are linear functions,

$$(4f10) \quad f_B(U) = \langle B, U \rangle \quad \text{for } U \in \text{SO}(n),$$

$B \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ being a parameter. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (f_B * \mu_t)(U) &= \int f_B(UV) \mu_t(dV) = \int \langle B, UV \rangle \mu_t(dV) = \\ &= \langle B, U \int V \mu_t(dV) \rangle = \langle B, U \exp(A_1 t) \rangle = \langle B \exp(A_1^* t), U \rangle = f_{B \exp(A_1^* t)}(U), \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$(4f11) \quad f_B * \mu_t = f_{B \exp(A_1^* t)}.$$

Clearly, $f_t(U) = (f_B * \mu_t)(U) = \langle B \exp(A_1^* t), U \rangle$ is a smooth function of $(t, U) \in [0, \infty) \times \text{SO}(n)$; by 4f9 it satisfies the PDE (partial differential equation)

$$(4f12) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f_t(U) = \langle \nabla f_t(U), iv \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle \nabla^2 f_t(U), D \rangle.$$

Quadratic functions are of the form

$$(4f13) \quad f_B(U) = \langle B, U \otimes U \rangle \quad \text{for } U \in \text{SO}(n);$$

this time, $B \in M_{n^2}(\mathbb{R})$.

4f14 Exercise. For all $B \in M_{n^2}(\mathbb{R})$ and $t \in [0, \infty)$,

$$f_B * \mu_t = f_{B \exp(A_2^* t)}.$$

Prove it.

The PDE (4f12) holds for quadratic f_0 as well. Similarly, it holds for all polynomials f_0 . By approximation (in $C^2(\text{SO}(n))$) it holds for all f_0 of class C^2 .

4g Existence theorem

Here is a positive answer to 4d1.²

4g1 Theorem. For every Brownian motion $(Y_t)_t$ in $\text{SO}(n)$ there exists a morphism of the standard Brownian motion in \mathbb{R}^m (for some m) to $(Y_t)_t$.

The theorem follows from three lemmas, 4g9, 4g12, 4g15.

Similarly to the variance

$$\text{Var}(X) = \mathbb{E}(X^2) - (\mathbb{E}X)^2 = \mathbb{E}(X - \mathbb{E}X)^2$$

of a random variable $X \in L_2(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$, we may introduce the *tensor variance*

$$(4g2) \quad \text{Var}(U) = \mathbb{E}(U \otimes U) - (\mathbb{E}U) \otimes (\mathbb{E}U) = \mathbb{E}((U - \mathbb{E}U) \otimes (U - \mathbb{E}U)) \in M_{n^2}(\mathbb{R})$$

of a random matrix $U \in L_2(\Omega, M_n(\mathbb{R}))$. Clearly,

$$(\text{Var } U)_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} = \text{Cov}(U_{\alpha}^{\gamma}, U_{\beta}^{\delta}); \quad (\text{Var } U)_{\alpha, \alpha}^{\beta, \beta} = \text{Var}(U_{\alpha}^{\beta}).$$

For any Brownian motion $(Y_t)_t$ in $\text{SO}(n)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(Y_t) &= \exp(tA_2) - \exp(tA_1) \otimes \exp(tA_1) = \\ &= (\mathbf{1} + tA_2 + o(t)) - (\mathbf{1} + tA_1 + o(t)) \otimes (\mathbf{1} + tA_1 + o(t)) = t(A_2 - A_1 \otimes \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{1} \otimes A_1) + o(t) \end{aligned}$$

²See also: K. Yosida, On Brownian motion in a homogeneous Riemannian space. Pacific J. Math. **2**, 263–270 (1952).

for $t \rightarrow 0$. Introducing

$$(4g3) \quad D = A_2 - A_1 \otimes \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{1} \otimes A_1$$

we get

$$(4g4) \quad \text{Var}(Y_t) = tD + o(t) \quad \text{for } t \rightarrow 0.$$

Especially, if A_1, A_2 are given by (4c9), then (4g3) conforms with (4f4):

$$(4g5) \quad D = - \sum_k \sigma_k \otimes \sigma_k = \sum_k i\sigma_k \otimes i\sigma_k.$$

4g6 Example. Similarly to 4c4, $e^{i\sigma B_t + ivt}$ turns into

$$Y_t = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\sigma B_t + vt) & \sin(\sigma B_t + vt) \\ -\sin(\sigma B_t + vt) & \cos(\sigma B_t + vt) \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SO}(2).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}Y_t &= e^{-\sigma^2 t/2} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \cos vt & \sin vt \\ -\sin vt & \cos vt \end{pmatrix}; \quad A_1 = -\frac{\sigma^2}{2} \cdot \mathbf{1} + v \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \\ \mathbb{E}(Y_t \otimes Y_t) &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} e^{-2\sigma^2 t} \cos 2vt \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} e^{-2\sigma^2 t} \sin 2vt \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \\ A_2 &= -\sigma^2 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + v \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \\ A_1 \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes A_1 &= -\sigma^2 \cdot \mathbf{1} + v \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \\ D &= \sigma^2 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \sigma^2 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

4g7 Exercise. $\mathbb{E}((Y_t - \mathbf{1}) \otimes (Y_t - \mathbf{1})) = tD + o(t)$ for $t \rightarrow 0$.

Prove it.

Hint: $\mathbb{E}((Y_t - \mathbf{1}) \otimes (Y_t - \mathbf{1})) - \text{Var}(Y_t) = (\mathbf{1} - \mathbb{E}Y_t) \otimes (\mathbf{1} - \mathbb{E}Y_t)$.

One more formula for D will be given by (4g13).

4g8 Exercise. $\mathbb{E}|Y_t - \mathbf{1}|^2 = O(t)$ for $t \rightarrow 0$.

Prove it.

Hint: use 4g7.

Here and henceforth $|\dots|$ means not only the absolute value of a real or complex number, but also a norm on $M_n(\mathbb{R})$. The choice of a norm influences only constants.

Our first lemma is just a linear algebra (rather than probability).

4g9 Lemma. Matrices $A_1 \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$, $A_2 \in M_{n^2}(\mathbb{R})$ are of the form (4c9) if and only if they satisfy the following two conditions (where D is defined by (4g3)):

(a) $D_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,\delta} = D_{\beta,\alpha}^{\delta,\gamma}$, $D_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,\delta} = -D_{\gamma,\beta}^{\alpha,\delta}$ for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$, and

$$\sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta} D_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,\delta} Z_\alpha^\gamma Z_\beta^\delta \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } Z \in M_n(\mathbb{R});$$

(b) $\sum_\gamma D_{\gamma,\alpha}^{\beta,\gamma} = (A_1)_\alpha^\beta + (A_1)_\beta^\alpha$ for all α, β .³

Proof. Let A_1, A_2 be of the form (4c9). By (4g5), $D = \sum_k i\sigma_k \otimes i\sigma_k$; it satisfies $D_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,\delta} = D_{\beta,\alpha}^{\delta,\gamma}$ and $D_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,\delta} = -D_{\gamma,\beta}^{\alpha,\delta}$ since $(i\sigma_k)^* = -i\sigma_k$. Also,

$$\sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta} D_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,\delta} Z_\alpha^\gamma Z_\beta^\delta = \sum_k \left(\sum_{\alpha,\gamma} (i\sigma_k)_\alpha^\gamma Z_\alpha^\gamma \right) \left(\sum_{\beta,\delta} (i\sigma_k)_\beta^\delta Z_\beta^\delta \right) = \sum_k \left(\sum_{\alpha,\gamma} (i\sigma_k)_\alpha^\gamma Z_\alpha^\gamma \right)^2 \geq 0,$$

thus (a) holds. Further,⁴

$$\sum_\gamma D_{\gamma,\alpha}^{\beta,\gamma} = \sum_k \sum_\gamma (i\sigma_k)_\gamma^\beta (i\sigma_k)_\alpha^\gamma = \sum_k (i\sigma_k \cdot i\sigma_k)_\alpha^\beta = \left(-\sum_k \sigma_k^2 \right)_\alpha^\beta;$$

but also

$$A_1 + A_1^* = -\sum_k \sigma_k^2,$$

thus (b) holds.

Now assume that (a), (b) hold. A positive quadratic form is a sum of squared linear forms:

$$\sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta} D_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,\delta} Z_\alpha^\gamma Z_\beta^\delta = \sum_k \left(\sum_{\alpha,\beta} (i\sigma_k)_\alpha^\beta Z_\alpha^\beta \right)^2 \quad \text{for all } Z \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$$

for some $i\sigma_k \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$. That is, $D = \sum_k (i\sigma_k) \otimes (i\sigma_k) = -\sum_k \sigma_k \otimes \sigma_k$. If $Z^* = Z$ then

$$\sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta} D_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,\delta} Z_\alpha^\gamma Z_\beta^\delta = -\sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta} D_{\gamma,\beta}^{\alpha,\delta} Z_\alpha^\gamma Z_\beta^\delta = -\sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta} D_{\gamma,\beta}^{\alpha,\delta} Z_\gamma^\alpha Z_\beta^\delta = -\sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta} D_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,\delta} Z_\alpha^\gamma Z_\beta^\delta$$

³See also (4g14).

⁴The upper index of a matrix is the row number, the lower index is the column number.

must vanish, thus $\sum_{\alpha,\beta} (i\sigma_k)_\alpha^\beta Z_\alpha^\beta$ must vanish, which means that $(i\sigma_k)_\beta^\alpha = -(i\sigma_k)_\alpha^\beta$, that is, $(i\sigma_k)^* = -i\sigma_k$ and $\sigma_k^* = \sigma_k$.

We have

$$(A_1 + A_1^*)_\alpha^\beta = \sum_\gamma D_{\gamma,\alpha}^{\beta,\gamma} = - \sum_k \sum_\gamma (\sigma_k)_\gamma^\beta (\sigma_k)_\alpha^\gamma = - \sum_k (\sigma_k^2)_\alpha^\beta,$$

thus $A_1 + A_1^* = - \sum_k \sigma_k^2$. Introducing $iv \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ by

$$iv = \frac{1}{2}(A_1 - A_1^*)$$

we get

$$A_1 = \frac{1}{2}(A_1 + A_1^*) + \frac{1}{2}(A_1 - A_1^*) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_k \sigma_k^2 + iv.$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} A_2 &= D + A_1 \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes A_1 = \\ &= - \sum_k \sigma_k \otimes \sigma_k - \frac{1}{2} \sum_k (\sigma_k^2 \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes \sigma_k^2) + iv \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes iv = \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_k (\sigma_k \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes \sigma_k)^2 + i(v \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes v); \end{aligned}$$

we see that A_1, A_2 are of the form (4c9). □

4g10 Exercise. Let random variables $M_t \geq 0$, $0 < t < 1$, satisfy (for $t \rightarrow 0$)

- $\|M_t\|_{L_\infty} = O(1)$;
- $\|M_t\|_{L_2} = O(\sqrt{t})$;
- $\mathbb{P}(M_t \geq \varepsilon) = o(t)$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$.

Then $\mathbb{E}M_t^3 = o(t)$.

Prove it.

Hint: $(\min(M_t, \varepsilon))^3 \leq \varepsilon M_t^2$.

4g11 Exercise. Let $(Y_t)_t$ be a Brownian motion in $\text{SO}(n)$. Then $\mathbb{E}|Y_t - \mathbf{1}|^3 = o(t)$ for $t \rightarrow 0$.

Prove it.

Hint: apply 4g10 to $M_t = |Y_t - \mathbf{1}|$, taking into account 4g8 and 1e1; $\text{SO}(n)$ is not \mathbb{R} , but still has an invariant metric.

4g12 Lemma. For every Brownian motion $(Y_t)_t$ in $\text{SO}(n)$ the matrices A_1, A_2 defined by (4c3) satisfy conditions 4g9(a,b).

Proof. Clearly, $D_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,\delta} = D_{\beta,\alpha}^{\delta,\gamma}$. Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta} D_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,\delta} Z_\alpha^\gamma Z_\beta^\delta &= \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta} (\mathbb{E}Y_t \otimes Y_t - (\mathbb{E}Y_t) \otimes (\mathbb{E}Y_t))_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,\delta} Z_\alpha^\gamma Z_\beta^\delta = \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \text{Var} \sum_{\alpha,\beta} (Y_t)_\alpha^\beta Z_\alpha^\beta \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Every $U \in \text{SO}(n)$ satisfies $\mathbf{1} = UU^* = (\mathbf{1} + (U - \mathbf{1}))(\mathbf{1} + (U^* - \mathbf{1})) = \mathbf{1} + (U - \mathbf{1}) + (U^* - \mathbf{1}) + O(|U - \mathbf{1}|^2)$, thus

$$\frac{1}{2}(U + U^*) = \mathbf{1} + O(|U - \mathbf{1}|^2),$$

and

$$U = \frac{1}{2}(U + U^*) + \frac{1}{2}(U - U^*) = \mathbf{1} + \frac{1}{2}(U - U^*) + O(|U - \mathbf{1}|^2).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} (Y_t - \mathbf{1}) \otimes (Y_t - \mathbf{1}) &= \left(\frac{1}{2}(Y_t - Y_t^*) + O(|Y_t - \mathbf{1}|^2)\right) \otimes \left(\frac{1}{2}(Y_t - Y_t^*) + O(|Y_t - \mathbf{1}|^2)\right) = \\ &= \frac{1}{4}(Y_t - Y_t^*) \otimes (Y_t - Y_t^*) + O(|Y_t - \mathbf{1}|^3) \end{aligned}$$

(with an absolute constant in $O(\dots)$); by 4g11,

$$\mathbb{E}((Y_t - \mathbf{1}) \otimes (Y_t - \mathbf{1})) = \frac{1}{4}\mathbb{E}((Y_t - Y_t^*) \otimes (Y_t - Y_t^*)) + o(t).$$

Using 4g7,

$$(4g13) \quad D = \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \frac{1}{4}\mathbb{E}((Y_t - Y_t^*) \otimes (Y_t - Y_t^*)),$$

which ensures $D_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,\delta} = -D_{\gamma,\beta}^{\alpha,\delta}$ and finishes the proof of (a).

For proving (b) we start with the equality $\mathbb{E}Y_t Y_t^* = \mathbf{1}$;

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{1}_\alpha^\beta &= \mathbb{E} \sum_\gamma (Y_t)_\gamma^\beta (Y_t^*)_\alpha^\gamma = \sum_\gamma (\mathbb{E}Y_t \otimes Y_t)_{\gamma,\gamma}^{\beta,\alpha} = \\ &= \sum_\gamma (\mathbf{1} + tA_2 + o(t))_{\gamma,\gamma}^{\beta,\alpha} = \mathbf{1}_\alpha^\beta + t \sum_\gamma (A_2)_{\gamma,\gamma}^{\beta,\alpha} + o(t), \end{aligned}$$

which means that

$$(4g14) \quad \sum_\gamma (A_2)_{\gamma,\gamma}^{\beta,\alpha} = 0 \quad \text{for all } \alpha, \beta.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_\gamma D_{\gamma,\alpha}^{\beta,\gamma} &= - \sum_\gamma D_{\gamma,\gamma}^{\beta,\alpha} = - \sum_\gamma (A_2 - A_1 \otimes \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{1} \otimes A_1)_{\gamma,\gamma}^{\beta,\alpha} = \\ &= - \sum_\gamma (A_2)_{\gamma,\gamma}^{\beta,\alpha} + \sum_\gamma (A_1)_\gamma^\beta \mathbf{1}_\gamma^\alpha + \sum_\gamma \mathbf{1}_\gamma^\beta (A_1)_\gamma^\alpha = 0 + (A_1)_\alpha^\beta + (A_1)_\beta^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

□

Our third lemma is stronger than the uniqueness theorem 4e1.

4g15 Lemma. A Brownian motion in $\text{SO}(n)$ is uniquely determined by generators A_1, A_2 (defined by (4c3)).

Proof. Similarly to 4e it is sufficient to prove that the higher tensor moment generators A_3, A_4, \dots are uniquely determined by A_1, A_2 . (In fact we will see that the relations found in 4e hold in general.) Denoting for convenience $Y_t - \mathbf{1} = Z_t$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} e^{tA_3} &= \mathbb{E}(Y_t \otimes Y_t \otimes Y_t) = \mathbb{E}((\mathbf{1} + Z_t) \otimes (\mathbf{1} + Z_t) \otimes (\mathbf{1} + Z_t)) = \\ &= \mathbf{1} + \mathbb{E}(Z_t \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} + \text{two such terms}) + \mathbb{E}(Z_t \otimes Z_t \otimes \mathbf{1} + \text{two such terms}) + \mathbb{E}(Z_t \otimes Z_t \otimes Z_t) = \\ &= \mathbf{1} + (e^{tA_1} - \mathbf{1}) \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} + \text{two such terms} + \\ &\quad + (e^{tA_2} - e^{tA_1} \otimes \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{1} \otimes e^{tA_1} + \mathbf{1}) \otimes \mathbf{1} + \text{two such terms} + o(t) \end{aligned}$$

by 4g11. That is,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{1} + tA_3 + o(t) &= \mathbf{1} + t(A_1 \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} + \text{two such terms}) + \\ &\quad + t \underbrace{((A_2 - A_1 \otimes \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{1} \otimes A_1) \otimes \mathbf{1} + \text{two such terms})}_{=D} + o(t); \\ A_3 &= (A_1 \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} + \text{two such terms}) + (D \otimes \mathbf{1} + \text{two such terms}); \end{aligned}$$

namely,

$$(A_3)_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^{\delta, \varepsilon, \zeta} = (A_1)_{\alpha}^{\delta} \mathbf{1}_{\beta}^{\varepsilon} \mathbf{1}_{\gamma}^{\zeta} + \mathbf{1}_{\alpha}^{\delta} (A_1)_{\beta}^{\varepsilon} \mathbf{1}_{\gamma}^{\zeta} + \mathbf{1}_{\alpha}^{\delta} \mathbf{1}_{\beta}^{\varepsilon} (A_1)_{\gamma}^{\zeta} + D_{\alpha, \beta}^{\delta, \varepsilon} \mathbf{1}_{\gamma}^{\zeta} + D_{\alpha, \gamma}^{\delta, \zeta} \mathbf{1}_{\beta}^{\varepsilon} + D_{\beta, \gamma}^{\varepsilon, \zeta} \mathbf{1}_{\alpha}^{\delta}.$$

The same argument works for A_4, A_5, \dots

□