TOPOLOGICAL DIAGONALIZATIONS AND HAUSDORFF DIMENSION

TOMASZ WEISS AND BOAZ TSABAN

ABSTRACT. The Hausdorff dimension of a product $X \times Y$ can be strictly greater than that of Y, even when the Hausdorff dimension of X is zero. But when X is countable, the Hausdorff dimensions of Y and $X \times Y$ are the same. Diagonalizations of covers define a natural hierarchy of properties which are weaker than "being countable" and stronger than "having Hausdorff dimension zero". Fremlin asked whether it is enough for X to have the strongest property in this hierarchy (namely, being a γ -set) in order to assure that the Hausdorff dimensions of Y and $X \times Y$ are the same.

We give a negative answer: Assuming the Continuum Hypothesis, there exists a γ -set $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and a set $Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ with Hausdorff dimension zero, such that the Hausdorff dimension of X+Y (a Lipschitz image of $X\times Y$) is maximal, that is, 1. However, we show that for the notion of a *strong* γ -set the answer is positive. Some related problems remain open.

1. Introduction

The Hausdorff dimension of a subset of \mathbb{R}^k is a derivative of the notion of Hausdorff measures [4]. However, for our purposes it will be more convenient to use the following equivalent definition. Denote the diameter of a subset A of \mathbb{R}^k by $\operatorname{diam}(A)$. The Hausdorff dimension of a set $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$, $\operatorname{dim}(X)$, is the infimum of all positive δ such that for each positive ϵ there exists a cover $\{I_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of X with

$$\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \operatorname{diam}(I_n)^{\delta} < \epsilon.$$

From the many properties of Hausdorff dimension, we will need the following easy ones.

Lemma 1.

- (1) If $X \subseteq Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$, then $\dim(X) \leq \dim(Y)$.
- (2) Assume that X_1, X_2, \ldots are subsets of \mathbb{R}^k such that $\dim(X_n) = \delta$ for each n. Then $\dim(\bigcup_n X_n) = \delta$.
- (3) Assume that $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$ and $Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ is such that there exists a Lipschitz surjection $\phi: X \to Y$. Then $\dim(X) > \dim(Y)$.
- (4) For each $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$ and $Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$, $\dim(X \times Y) \ge \dim(X) + \dim(Y)$.

Equality need not hold in item (4) of the last lemma. In particular, one can construct a set X with Hausdorff dimension zero and a set Y such that $\dim(X \times Y)$

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 03E75; Secondary: 37F20, 26A03.

Key words and phrases. Hausdorff dimension, Gerlits-Nagy γ property, Galvin-Miller strong γ property.

The second author is partially supported by the Golda Meir Fund and the Edmund Landau Center for Research in Mathematical Analysis and Related Areas, sponsored by the Minerva Foundation (Germany).

Y) > dim(Y). On the other hand, when X is countable, $X \times Y$ is a union of countably many copies of Y, and therefore

(1)
$$\dim(X \times Y) = \dim(Y).$$

Having Hausdorff dimension zero can be thought of as a notion of smallness. Being countable is another notion of smallness, and we know that the first notion is not enough restrictive in order to have Equation 1 hold, but the second is.

Notions of smallness for sets of real numbers have a long history and many applications – see, e.g., [11]. We will consider some notions which are weaker than being countable and stronger than having Hausdorff dimension zero.

According to Borel [3], a set $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$ has strong measure zero if for each sequence of positive reals $\{\epsilon_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, there exists a cover $\{I_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of X such that $\operatorname{diam}(I_n) < \epsilon_n$ for all n. Clearly strong measure zero implies Hausdorff dimension zero. It does not require any special assumptions in order to see that the converse is false. A perfect set can be mapped onto the unit interval by a uniformly continuous function and therefore cannot have strong measure zero.

Proposition 2 (folklore). There exists a perfect set of reals X with Hausdorff dimension zero.

Proof. For $0 < \lambda < 1$, denote by $C(\lambda)$ the Cantor set obtained by starting with the unit interval, and at each step removing from the middle of each interval a subinterval of size λ times the size of the interval (So that C(1/3) is the canonical middle-third Cantor set, which has Hausdorff dimension $\log 2/\log 3$.) It is easy to see that if $\lambda_n \nearrow 1$, then $\dim(C(\lambda_n)) \searrow 0$.

Thus, define a special Cantor set $C(\{\lambda_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}})$ by starting with the unit interval, and at step n removing from the middle of each interval a subinterval of size λ_n times the size of the interval. For each n, $C(\{\lambda_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}})$ is contained in a union of 2^n (shrunk) copies of $C(\lambda_n)$, and therefore $\dim(C(\{\lambda_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}})) \leq \dim(C(\lambda_n))$.

As every countable set has strong measure zero, the latter notion can be thought of an "approximation" of countability. In fact, Borel conjectured in [3] that every strong measure zero set is countable, and it turns out that the usual axioms of mathematics (ZFC) are not strong enough to prove or disprove this conjecture: Assuming the Continuum Hypothesis there exists an uncountable strong measure zero set (namely, a Luzin set), but Laver [10] proved that one cannot prove the existence of such an object from the usual axioms of mathematics.

The property of strong measure zero (which depends on the metric) has a natural topological counterpart. A topological space X has Rothberger's property C'' [13] if for each sequence $\{U_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of covers of X there is a sequence $\{U_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that for each n $U_n \in \mathcal{U}_n$, and $\{U_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a cover of X. Using Scheepers' notation [15], this property is a particular instance of the following selection hypothesis (where $\mathfrak U$ and $\mathfrak V$ are any collections of covers of X):

 $S_1(\mathfrak{U},\mathfrak{V})$: For each sequence $\{U_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of members of \mathfrak{U} , there is a sequence $\{U_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that $U_n\in\mathcal{U}_n$ for each n, and $\{U_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\in\mathfrak{V}$.

Let \mathcal{O} denote the collection of all open covers of X. Then the property considered by Rothberger is $S_1(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O})$. Fremlin and Miller [5] proved that a set $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$ satisfies $S_1(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O})$ if, and only if, X has strong measure zero with respect to each metric which generates the standard topology on \mathbb{R}^k .

But even Rothberger's property for X is not strong enough to have Equation 1 hold: It is well-known that every Luzin set satisfies Rothberger's property (and, in particular, has Hausdorff dimension zero).

Lemma 3. The mapping $(x,y) \mapsto x + y$ from \mathbb{R}^2 to \mathbb{R} is Lipschitz.

Proof. Observe that for nonnegative reals a and b, $(a-b)^2 \ge 0$ and therefore $a^2+b^2 \ge 2ab$. Consequently,

$$a+b=\sqrt{a^2+2ab+b^2}\leq \sqrt{2(a^2+b^2)}=\sqrt{2}\sqrt{a^2+b^2}.$$

Thus,
$$|(x_1+y_1)-(x_2+y_2)| \le \sqrt{2}\sqrt{(x_1-x_2)^2+(y_1-y_2)^2}$$
 for all $(x_1,y_1),(x_2,y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

Assuming the Continuum Hypothesis, there exists a Luzin set $L \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ such that L + L, a Lipschitz image of $L \times L$, is equal to \mathbb{R} [9].

We therefore consider some stronger properties. An open cover \mathcal{U} of X is an ω -cover of X if each finite subset of X is contained in some member of the cover, but X is not contained in any member of \mathcal{U} . \mathcal{U} is a γ -cover of X if it is infinite, and each element of X belongs to all but finitely many members of \mathcal{U} . Let Ω and Γ denote the collections of open ω -covers and γ -covers of X, respectively. Then $\Gamma \subseteq \Omega \subseteq \mathcal{O}$, and these three classes of covers introduce 9 properties of the form $S_1(\mathfrak{U},\mathfrak{V})$. If we remove the trivial ones and check for equivalences [9, 19], then it turns out that only six of these properties are really distinct, and only three of them imply Hausdorff dimension zero:

$$\mathsf{S}_1(\Omega,\Gamma) \to \mathsf{S}_1(\Omega,\Omega) \to \mathsf{S}_1(\mathcal{O},\mathcal{O}).$$

The properties $S_1(\Omega, \Gamma)$ and $S_1(\Omega, \Omega)$ were also studied before. $S_1(\Omega, \Omega)$ was studied by Sakai [14], and $S_1(\Omega, \Gamma)$ was studied by Gerlits and Nagy in [8]: A topological space X is a γ -set if each ω -cover of X contains a γ -cover of X. Gerlits and Nagy proved that X is a γ -set if, and only if, X satisfies $S_1(\Omega, \Gamma)$. It is not difficult to see that every countable space is a γ -set. But this property is not trivial: Assuming the Continuum Hypothesis, there exist uncountable γ -sets [7].

 $\mathsf{S}_1(\Omega,\Omega)$ is closed under taking finite powers [9], thus the Luzin set we used to see that Equation 1 need not hold when X satisfies $\mathsf{S}_1(\mathcal{O},\mathcal{O})$ does not rule out that possibility that this Equation holds when X satisfies $\mathsf{S}_1(\Omega,\Omega)$. However, in [2] it is shown that assuming the Continuum Hypothesis, there exist Luzin sets L_0 and L_1 satisfying $\mathsf{S}_1(\Omega,\Omega)$, such that $L_0+L_1=\mathbb{R}$. Thus, the only remaining candidate for a nontrivial property of X where Equation 1 holds is $\mathsf{S}_1(\Omega,\Gamma)$ (γ -sets). Fremlin (personal communication) asked whether Equation 1 is indeed provable in this case. We give a negative answer, but show that for a yet stricter (but nontrivial) property which was considered in the literature, the answer is positive.

The notion of a strong γ -set was introduced in [7]. However, we will adopt the following simple characterization from [19] as our formal definition. Assume that $\{\mathfrak{U}_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of collections of covers of a space X, and that \mathfrak{V} is a collection of covers of X. Define the following selection hypothesis.

 $\mathsf{S}_1(\{\mathfrak{U}_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}},\mathfrak{V})$: For each sequence $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ where $\mathcal{U}_n\in\mathfrak{U}_n$ for each n, there is a sequence $\{U_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that $U_n\in\mathcal{U}_n$ for each n, and $\{U_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\in\mathfrak{V}$.

A cover \mathcal{U} of a space X is an n-cover if each n-element subset of X is contained in some member of \mathcal{U} . For each n denote by \mathcal{O}_n the collection of all open n-covers of a space X. Then X is a $strong\ \gamma$ -set if X satisfies $\mathsf{S}_1(\{\mathcal{O}_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}},\Gamma)$.

In most cases $S_1(\{\mathcal{O}_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}},\mathfrak{V})$ is equivalent to $S_1(\Omega,\mathfrak{V})$ [19], but not in the case $\mathfrak{V}=\Gamma$: It is known that for a strong γ -set $G\subseteq\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and each $A\subseteq\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ of measure zero, $G\oplus A$ has measure zero too [7]; this can be contrasted with Theorem 5 below. In Section 3 we show that Equation 1 is provable in the case that X is a strong γ -set, establishing another difference between the notions of γ -sets and strong γ -sets, and giving a positive answer to Fremlin's question under a stronger assumption on X.

2. The product of a γ -set and a set of Hausdorff dimension zero

Theorem 4. Assuming the Continuum Hypothesis (or just $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{c}$), there exist a γ -set $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and a set $Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ with Hausdorff dimension zero such that the Hausdorff dimension of the algebraic sum

$$X + Y = \{x + y : x \in X, y \in Y\}$$

(a Lipschitz image of $X \times Y$ in \mathbb{R}) is 1. In particular, $\dim(X \times Y) \geq 1$.

Our theorem will follow from the following related theorem. This theorem involves the *Cantor space* $\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ of infinite binary sequences. The Cantor space is equipped with the product topology and with the product measure.

Theorem 5 (Bartoszyński and Recław [1]). Assume the Continuum Hypothesis (or just $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{c}$). Fix an increasing sequence $\{k_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of natural numbers, and for each n define

$$A_n = \{ f \in \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}} : f \upharpoonright [k_n, k_{n+1}) \equiv 0 \}.$$

If the set

$$A = \bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{n \ge m} A_n$$

has measure zero, then there exists a γ -set $G \subseteq \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that the algebraic sum $G \oplus A$ is equal to $\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ (where where \oplus denotes the modulo 2 coordinatewise addition).

Observe that the assumption in Theorem 5 holds whenever $\sum_{n} 2^{-(k_{n+1}-k_n)}$ converges.

Lemma 6. There exists an increasing sequence of natural numbers $\{k_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that $\sum_n 2^{-(k_{n+1}-k_n)}$ converges, and such that for the sequence $\{B_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ defined by

$$B_n = \left\{ \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{f(i)}{2^{i+1}} : f \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}} \text{ and } f \upharpoonright [k_n, k_{n+1}) \equiv 0 \right\}$$

for each n, the set

$$Y = \bigcap_{m \in \omega} \bigcup_{n \ge m} B_n$$

 $has\ Hausdorf\!f\ dimension\ zero.$

Proof. Fix a sequence p_n of positive reals which converges to 0. Let $k_0 = 0$. Given k_n find k_{n+1} satisfying

$$3^{k_n} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{p_n(k_{n+1}-2)}} \le \frac{1}{2^n}.$$

Clearly, every B_n is contained in a union of 3^{k_n} intervals such that each of the intervals has diameter $1/2^{k_{n+1}-2}$. For each positive δ and ϵ , choose m such that

 $\sum_{n\geq m}1/2^n<\epsilon$ and such that $p_n<\delta$ for all $n\geq m.$ Now, Y is a subset of $\bigcup_{n\geq m}B_n,$ and

$$\sum_{n \ge m} 3^{k_n} \left(\frac{1}{2^{k_{n+1}-2}} \right)^{\delta} < \sum_{n \ge m} 3^{k_n} \left(\frac{1}{2^{k_{n+1}-2}} \right)^{p_n} < \sum_{n \ge m} \frac{1}{2^n} < \epsilon.$$

Thus, the Hausdorff dimension of Y is zero.

The following lemma concludes the proof of Theorem 4.

Lemma 7. There exists a γ -set $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and set $Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ with Hausdorff dimension zero such that $X + Y = \mathbb{R}$. In particular, $\dim(X + Y) = 1$.

Proof. Choose a sequence $\{k_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and a set Y as in Lemma 6. Then $\sum_n 2^{-(k_{n+1}-k_n)}$ converges, and the corresponding set A defined in Theorem 5 has measure zero. Thus, there exists a γ -set G such that $G \oplus A = \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Define $\Phi: \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}} \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\Phi(f) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{f(i)}{2^{i+1}}.$$

As Φ is continuous, $X = \Phi[G]$ is a γ -set of reals. Assume that z is a member of the interval [0,1], let $f \in \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ be such that $z = \sum_i f(i)/2^{i+1}$. Then $f = g \oplus a$ for appropriate $g \in G$ and $a \in A$. Define $h \in \{-1,0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ by h(i) = f(i) - g(i). For infinitely many $n, a \upharpoonright [k_n, k_{n+1}) \equiv 0$ and therefore $f \upharpoonright [k_n, k_{n+1}) \equiv g \upharpoonright [k_n, k_{n+1})$, that is, $h \upharpoonright [k_n, k_{n+1}) \equiv 0$ for infinitely many n. Thus, $y = \sum_i h(i)/2^{i+1} \in Y$, and for $x = \Phi(g)$,

$$x + y = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{g(i)}{2^{i+1}} + \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{h(i)}{2^{i+1}} = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{g(i) + h(i)}{2^{i+1}} = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{f(i)}{2^{i+1}} = z.$$

This shows that $[0,1] \subseteq X+Y$. Consequently, $X+(Y+\mathbb{Q})=(X+Y)+\mathbb{Q}=\mathbb{R}$. Now, observe that $Y+\mathbb{Q}$ has Hausdorff dimension zero since Y has.

3. The product of a strong γ -set and a set of Hausdorff dimension zero

Theorem 8. Assume that $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$ is a strong γ -set. Then for each $Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}^l$, $\dim(X \times Y) = \dim(Y)$.

Proof. The proof for this is similar to that of Theorem 7 in [7]. It is enough to show that $\dim(X \times Y) \leq \dim(Y)$.

Lemma 9. Assume that $Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}^l$ is such that $\dim(Y) < \delta$. Then for each positive ϵ there exists a large cover $\{I_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of Y (i.e., such that each $y\in Y$ is a member of infinitely many sets I_n) such that $\sum_n \operatorname{diam}(I_n)^{\delta} < \epsilon$.

Proof. For each m choose a cover $\{I_n^m\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of Y such that $\sum_n \operatorname{diam}(I_n^m)^\delta < \epsilon/2^m$. Then $\{I_n^m: m, n\in\mathbb{N}\}$ is a large cover of Y, and $\sum_{m,n} \operatorname{diam}(I_n^m)^\delta < \sum_n \epsilon/2^m = \epsilon$.

Lemma 10. Assume that $Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}^l$ is such that $\dim(Y) < \delta$. Then for each sequence $\{\epsilon_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of positive reals there exists a large cover $\{A_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of Y such that for each n A_n is a union of finitely many sets, $I_1^n, \ldots, I_{m_n}^n$, such that $\sum_j \dim(I_j^n)^{\delta} < \epsilon_n$.

Proof. Assume that $\{\epsilon_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of positive reals. By Lemma 9, there exists a large cover $\{I_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of Y such that $\sum_n \operatorname{diam}(I_n)^{\delta} < \epsilon_1$. For each n let $k_n = \min\{m: \sum_{j>m} \operatorname{diam}(I_j)^{\delta} < \epsilon_n\}$. Take

$$A_n = \bigcup_{j=k_n}^{k_{n+1}-1} I_j.$$

Fix $\delta > \dim(Y)$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Choose a sequence $\{\epsilon_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of positive reals such that $\sum_n 2n\epsilon_n < \epsilon$, and use Lemma 10 to get the corresponding large cover $\{A_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

For each n we define an n-cover \mathcal{U}_n of X as follows. Let F be an n-element subset of X. For each $x \in F$, find an open interval I_x such that $x \in I_x$ and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m_n} \operatorname{diam}(I_x \times I_j^n)^{\delta} < 2\epsilon_n.$$

Let $U_F = \bigcup_{x \in F} I_x$. Set

 $\mathcal{U}_n = \{U_F : F \text{ is an } n\text{-element subset of } X\}.$

As X is a strong γ -set, there exist elements $U_{F_n} \in \mathcal{U}_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\{U_{F_n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a γ -cover of X. Consequently,

$$X \times Y \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (U_{F_n} \times A_n) \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{x \in F_n} \bigcup_{j=1}^{m_n} I_x \times I_j^n$$

and

$$\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\sum_{x\in F_n}\sum_{j=1}^{m_n}\operatorname{diam}(I_x\times I_j^n)^{\delta}<\sum_n n\cdot 2\epsilon_n<\epsilon.$$

4. Open problems

There are ways to strengthen the notion of γ -sets other than moving to strong γ -sets. Let \mathcal{B}_{Ω} and \mathcal{B}_{Γ} denote the collections of countable Borel ω -covers and γ -covers of X, respectively. As every open ω -cover of a set of reals contains a countable ω -subcover [9], we have that $\Omega \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{\Omega}$ and therefore $\mathsf{S}_1(\mathcal{B}_{\Omega},\mathcal{B}_{\Gamma})$ implies $\mathsf{S}_1(\Omega,\Gamma)$. The converse is not true [16].

Problem 11. Assume that $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $S_1(\mathcal{B}_{\Omega}, \mathcal{B}_{\Gamma})$. Is it true that for each $Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, $\dim(X \times Y) = \dim(Y)$?

We conjecture that assuming the Continuum Hypothesis, the answer to this problem is negative. We therefore introduce the following problem. For infinite sets of natural numbers A, B, we write $A \subseteq^* B$ if $A \setminus B$ is finite. Assume that \mathcal{F} is a family of infinite sets of natural numbers. A set P is a pseudointersection of \mathcal{F} if it is infinite, and for each $B \in \mathcal{F}$, $A \subseteq^* B$. \mathcal{F} is centered if each finite subcollection of \mathcal{F} has a pseudointersection. Let \mathfrak{p} denote the minimal cardinality of a centered family which does not have a pseudointersection. In [16] it is proved that \mathfrak{p} is also the minimal cardinality of a set of reals which does not satisfy $\mathsf{S}_1(\mathcal{B}_\Omega, \mathcal{B}_\Gamma)$.

Problem 12. Assume that the cardinality of X is smaller than \mathfrak{p} . Is it true that for each $Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, $\dim(X \times Y) = \dim(Y)$?

Another interesting open problem involves the following notion [17, 18]. A cover \mathcal{U} of X is a τ -cover of X if it is a large cover, and for each $x, y \in X$, one of the sets $\{U \in \mathcal{U} : x \in U \text{ and } y \notin U\}$ or $\{U \in \mathcal{U} : y \in U \text{ and } x \notin U\}$ is finite. Let T denote the collection of open τ -covers of X. Then $\Gamma \subseteq T \subseteq \Omega$, therefore $\mathsf{S}_1(\{\mathcal{O}_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}},\Gamma)$ implies $\mathsf{S}_1(\{\mathcal{O}_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}},T)$.

Problem 13. Assume that $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $\mathsf{S}_1(\{\mathcal{O}_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}},\mathsf{T})$. Is it true that for each $Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, $\dim(X \times Y) = \dim(Y)$?

It is conjectured that $S_1(\{\mathcal{O}_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}, T)$ is strictly stronger than $S_1(\Omega, T)$ [19]. If this conjecture is false, then the results in this paper imply a negative answer to Problem 13.

Another type of problems is the following: We have seen that the assumption that X is a γ -set and Y has Hausdorff dimension zero is not enough in order to prove that $X \times Y$ has Hausdorff dimension zero. We also saw that if X satisfies a stronger property (strong γ -set), then $\dim(X \times Y) = \dim(Y)$ for all Y. Another approach to get a positive answer would be to strengthen the assumption on Y rather than X.

Assume that X, Y are γ -sets. Does it necessarily follow that $\dim(X \times Y) = 0$? What about the case that $(X \text{ is a } \gamma\text{-set}, \text{ and}) Y$ satisfies the Sakai property $\mathsf{S}_1(\Omega, \Omega)$, or Rothberger's property $\mathsf{S}_1(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O})$, or just strong measure zero? An argument similar to that of Theorem 8 shows that if X is a strong γ -set we get a positive answer.

Theorem 14. Assume that $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$ is a strong γ -set. Then for each strong measure zero set $Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}^l$, $X \times Y$ has strong measure zero. In particular, the Hausdorff dimension of $X \times Y$ is zero.

Proof. Assume that $\{\epsilon_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of positive reals. For each n let $k_n = 1 + \cdots + (n-1)$, and define $\tilde{\epsilon}_n = \min\{\epsilon_i : i < k_{n+1}\}/2$. As Y has strong measure zero, there exists a large cover $\{I_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of Y such that for each n, diam $(I_n) < \tilde{\epsilon}_n$.

For each n define an n-cover \mathcal{U}_n of X as follows. Let F be an n-element subset of X. For each $x \in F$, find an open interval I_x such that $x \in I_x$ and $\operatorname{diam}(I_x \times I_n) < 2\tilde{\epsilon}_n$. Let $U_F = \bigcup_{x \in F} I_x$. Set

$$\mathcal{U}_n = \{U_F : F \text{ is an } n\text{-element subset of } X\}$$

and choose elements $U_{F_n} \in \mathcal{U}_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\{U_{F_n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a γ -cover of X. Then

$$X \times Y \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (U_{F_n} \times I_n) \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{x \in F_n} I_x \times I_n$$

Enumerate each F_n as $\{x_0^n, \ldots, x_{n-1}^n\}$, and define a cover $\{J_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $X \times Y$ by $J_{k_n+i} = I_{x_i^n} \times I_n$ for each n and i < n. For each natural number N, let n be such that $N = k_n + i$ for some i < n. Then

$$\operatorname{diam}(J_N) = \operatorname{diam}(I_{x_i^n} \times I_n) < 2\tilde{\epsilon}_n \le \epsilon_{k_n+i} = \epsilon_N.$$

In fact, the corresponding result for γ -sets also holds; we plan to treat this problem in [20].

References

- T. Bartoszyński and I. Recław, Not every γ-set is strongly meager, Contemporary Mathematics 192 (1996), 25–29.
- [2] T. Bartoszynski, S. Shelah, and B. Tsaban, Additivity properties of topological diagonalizations, The Journal of Symbolic Logic 68 (2003), 1254–1260. (Full version: http://arxiv.org/abs/math.LO/0112262)
- [3] É. Borel, Sur la classification des ensembles de mesure nulle, Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France 47 (1919), 97–125.
- [4] K. Falconer, The geometry of fractal sets, Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- [5] D.H. Fremlin and A.W. Miller, On some properties of Hurewicz, Menger and Rothberger, Fundamenta Mathematica 129 (1988), 17–33.
- [6] F. Galvin, J. Mycielski, and R. Solovay, Strong measure zero sets, Notices of the American Mathematical Society (1973), A-280.
- [7] F. Galvin and A.W. Miller, γ-sets and other singular sets of real numbers, Topology and it Applications 17 (1984), 145–155.
- [8] J. Gerlits and Zs. Nagy, Some properties of C(X), I, Topology and its applications 14 (1982), 151–161.
- [9] W. Just, A. W. Miller, M. Scheepers, and P. Szeptycki, Combinatorics of open covers II, Topology and Its Applications, 73 (1996), 241–266.
- [10] R. Laver, On the consistency of Borel's conjecture, Acta Mathematica 137 (1976), 151-169.
- [11] A.W. Miller, Special subsets of the real line, in: Handbook of Set Theoretic Topology (eds. K. Kunen and J.E. Vaughan), 201–233, North Holland, Amsterdam: 1984.
- [12] A. Nowik, M. Scheepers, and T. Weiss, The algebraic sum of sets of real numbers with strong measure zero sets, The Journal of Symbolic Logic 63 (1998), 301–324.
- [13] F. Rothberger, Sur des families indenombrables de suites de nombres naturels, et les problémes concernant la proprieté C, Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society 37 (1941), 109–126.
- [14] M. Sakai, Property C'' and function spaces, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 104 (1988), 917–919.
- [15] M. Scheepers, Combinatorics of open covers I: Ramsey Theory, Topology and its Applications 69 (1996), 31–62.
- [16] M. Scheepers and B. Tsaban, The combinatorics of Borel covers, Topology and its Applications 121 (2002), 357–382.
- http://arxiv.org/abs/math.GN/0302322 [7] B. Tsaban. A topological interpretation of t.
- [17] B. Tsaban, A topological interpretation of t, Real Analysis Exchange 25 (1999/2000), 391–404.
 - http://arxiv.org/abs/math.LO/9705209
- [18] B. Tsaban, Selection principles and the minimal tower problem, Note di Matematica, to appear.
 - http://arxiv.org/abs/math.LO/0105045
- [19] B. Tsaban, Strong γ-sets and other singular spaces, submitted. http://arxiv.org/abs/math.L0/0208057
- [20] B. Tsaban and T. Weiss, Products of special sets of real numbers, submitted.

Institute of Mathematics, Akademia Podlaska 08-119 Siedlce, Poland *E-mail address*: tomaszweiss@go2.pl

EINSTEIN INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM, GIVAT RAM, JERUSALEM 91904, ISRAEL

E-mail address: tsaban@math.huji.ac.il, http://www.cs.biu.ac.il/~tsaban