

**EXISTENCE OF TRAVELLING WAVES IN DISCRETE  
SINE-GORDON RINGS**

GUY KATRIEL

Preprint No. 3  
2003/2004

# EXISTENCE OF TRAVELLING WAVES IN DISCRETE SINE-GORDON RINGS

GUY KATRIEL

*Preprint No. 3  
2003/2004*

ABSTRACT. We prove existence results for travelling waves in discrete, damped, dc-driven sine-Gordon equations with periodic boundary conditions.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The damped, dc-driven discrete sine-Gordon equation, known also as the driven Frenkel-Kontorova model, with periodic boundary conditions, arises as a model of many physical systems, including circular arrays of Josephson junctions, the motions of dislocations in a crystal, the adsorbate layer on the surface of a crystal, ionic conductors, glassy materials, charge-density wave transport, sliding friction, as well as the mechanical interpretation as a model for a ring of pendula coupled by torsional springs (we refer to [7, 8, 9] and references therein). This model has thus become a fundamental one for nonlinear physics, and been the subject of many theoretical, numerical and experimental studies. The system of equations is

$$(1) \quad \phi_j'' + \Gamma \phi_j' + \sin(\phi_j) = F + K[\phi_{j+1} - 2\phi_j + \phi_{j-1}], \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}$$

with the parameters  $\Gamma > 0, K > 0, F > 0$ , with the periodic boundary-condition

$$(2) \quad \phi_{j+n}(t) = \phi_j(t) + 2\pi m \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}$$

where  $m \geq 1$  (we note that in view of the boundary conditions we are really dealing with an  $n$ -dimensional system of ODE's rather than an infinite-dimensional one). In numerical simulations, as well as in experimental work on systems modelled by (1),(2), it is observed that solutions often converge to a travelling wave: a solution satisfying

$$(3) \quad \phi_j(t) = f\left(t + j\frac{m}{n}T\right),$$

---

Partially supported by the Edmund Landau Center for Research in Mathematical Analysis and Related Areas, sponsored by the Minerva Foundation (Germany).

where the waveform  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a function satisfying

$$(4) \quad f(t + T) = f(t) + 2\pi \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

However, as has been pointed out in [8], even the *existence* of such a solution, has not been proven, except for the case of small  $K$  in which existence of a travelling wave for some values of  $F$  had been proven in [3].

In the ‘super-damped’ case, in which the second-derivative term in (1) is removed, there are very satisfying results about existence and also global stability of travelling waves ([1], theorem 2). Such results rely strongly on monotonicity arguments. Recently Baensens and Mackay [2] have managed to extend these arguments to the ‘overdamped’ of (1): their result applies when

$$\Gamma > 2\sqrt{2K + 1}$$

and says that whenever (1),(2) does not have stationary solutions, there exists a travelling-wave solution which is globally stable. We do not know whether in general the non-existence of stationary solutions implies the existence of a travelling wave.

We note that a function  $f$  is a waveform if and only if it satisfies (4) and

$$(5) \quad f''(t) + \Gamma f'(t) + \sin(f(t)) = F + K \left[ f\left(t + \frac{m}{n}T\right) - 2f(t) + f\left(t - \frac{m}{n}T\right) \right].$$

Here we obtain two existence results for travelling-waves under conditions not covered by the existing work, described above.

**Theorem 1.** *For any  $F > 1$  there exists a travelling-wave solution of (1), (2).*

**Theorem 2.** *Assume that  $n$  does not divide  $m$ . Fixing any  $\tilde{F} > 0$ , for  $K$  sufficiently large there exists a travelling-wave solution of (1), (2) for any  $F \geq \tilde{F}$ .*

We note that the assumption that  $n$  does not divide  $m$  cannot be removed from theorem 2, since if  $n$  divides  $m$  the coupling term vanishes and (5),(4) reduce to the equation of a running solution of a dc-forced pendulum, which is known to have a solution only when  $F$  exceeds a positive critical value [4].

Uniqueness of the travelling wave cannot be expected for all the parameter values for which existence holds. Indeed the numerical and experimental evidence ([7, 8]) shows that for some parameter values there is more than one travelling wave.

Along the way we will prove that

**Proposition 3.** *A lower bound for the period  $T$  of any travelling wave is given by*

$$(6) \quad T > \frac{2\pi\Gamma}{F}.$$

and an upper bound, in the case  $F > 1$ , is given by

$$(7) \quad T < \frac{2\pi\Gamma}{F-1}.$$

Let us note some questions that arise from our results and remain open:

(i) Let us define, for fixed  $\Gamma > 0$ ,

$$F_0(\Gamma, K) = \inf\{\tilde{F} \geq 0 \mid \forall F \geq \tilde{F} \text{ a travelling wave of (1), (2) exists}\}.$$

Theorem 1 shows that  $F_0(\Gamma, K) \leq 1$  for all  $K$ . Theorem 2 shows that, when  $n$  does not divide  $m$ ,  $\lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} F_0(\Gamma, K) = 0$ . Is it true, though, that for each fixed  $\Gamma, K > 0$  we have  $F_0(\Gamma, K) > 0$  (we would expect this due to the ‘pinning’ phenomenon)?

(ii) Clarify the connection, if any, between the travelling waves obtained by Levi [3] for small values of  $K > 0$  and those obtained by us for large values of  $K$  in theorem 2.

(iii) Investigate the issues of uniqueness vs. multiplicity and of stability of the travelling waves, especially in the large- $K$  regime for which existence has been established by theorem 2.

## 2. PROOFS OF THE RESULTS

Our method of proof involves re-formulating the problem as a fixed point problem in a Banach space, and applying results of nonlinear functional analysis. Our approach is thus close in spirit to [5], which deals with travelling waves in globally coupled Josephson junctions.

We transform the problem (4),(5) by setting

$$\begin{aligned} \omega &= \frac{2\pi}{T} \\ f(t) &= u(\omega t) + \omega t, \end{aligned}$$

where  $u$  satisfies:

$$(8) \quad u(t + 2\pi) = u(t) \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

(5) can then be written as

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} \omega^2 u''(t) &+ \Gamma \omega u'(t) + \sin(t + u(t)) \\ &= F - \Gamma \omega + K \left[ u\left(t + 2\pi \frac{m}{n}\right) - 2u(t) + u\left(t - 2\pi \frac{m}{n}\right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Dividing by  $\omega^2$  and setting

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{\omega}$$

we re-write (9) in the form

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} u''(t) &+ \lambda \Gamma u'(t) + \lambda^2 \sin(t + u(t)) \\ &= \lambda^2 F - \lambda \Gamma + \lambda^2 K \left[ u\left(t + 2\pi \frac{m}{n}\right) - 2u(t) + u\left(t - 2\pi \frac{m}{n}\right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We note that if  $u(t)$  satisfies (8),(10) then so does  $\tilde{u}(t) = u(t + c) + c$ , for any  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ . Thus by adjusting  $c$  we may assume that  $u$  satisfies

$$(11) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} u(t) dt = 0.$$

We note now that if  $u$  satisfies (8),(10), then by integrating both sides of (10) over  $[0, 2\pi]$  we obtain

$$(12) \quad F = \frac{\Gamma}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \sin(s + u(s)) ds.$$

We can thus re-write (10) as

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} u''(t) + \lambda \Gamma u'(t) + \lambda^2 \sin(t + u(t)) &= \lambda^2 \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \sin(s + u(s)) ds \\ &+ \lambda^2 K \left[ u\left(t + 2\pi \frac{m}{n}\right) - 2u(t) + u\left(t - 2\pi \frac{m}{n}\right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, if  $u$  satisfies (12) and (13) then it satisfies (10). We have thus reformulated our problem as: find solutions  $(\lambda, u)$  of (8),(11),(12),(13). The idea now is to consider  $\lambda$  as a *parameter* in (13) and try to find solutions  $u$  satisfying (8),(11),(13) and then substitute  $\lambda$  and  $u$  into (12) to obtain the corresponding value of  $F$ . This is the same idea as used in the numerical method presented in [7], but here it is used as part of existence proofs. We claim that

**Proposition 4.** *For any value  $\lambda$ , there exists a solution  $u$  of (13) satisfying (8),(11).*

To prove this we will use the Schauder fixed-point theorem. We denote by  $X, Y$  the Banach spaces of real-valued functions

$$X = \{u \in H^2[0, 2\pi] \mid u(0) = u(2\pi), \quad u'(0) = u'(2\pi), \quad \int_0^{2\pi} u(s) ds = 0\},$$

$$Y = \{u \in L^2[0, 2\pi] \mid \int_0^{2\pi} u(s) ds = 0\},$$

with the norm

$$\|u\|_Y = \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (u(s))^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

and by  $L_\lambda : X \rightarrow Y$  the linear mapping

$$L_\lambda(u) = u'' + \lambda \Gamma u' - \lambda^2 K \left[ u\left(t + 2\pi \frac{m}{n}\right) - 2u(t) + u\left(t - 2\pi \frac{m}{n}\right) \right].$$

We want to show that this mapping is invertible and derive an upper bound for the norm of its inverse. Noting that any  $u \in X$  can be decomposed in a Fourier series  $u(t) = \sum_{l \neq 0} a_l e^{ilt}$  (with  $a_{-l} = \overline{a_l}$ ), we apply  $L_\lambda$  to the Fourier elements, obtaining,

$$L_\lambda(e^{ilt}) = \mu_l e^{ilt},$$

where

$$\mu_l = -l^2 - 2K\lambda^2 \left( \cos \left( \frac{2\pi ml}{n} \right) - 1 \right) + \lambda l \Gamma i,$$

so that

$$(14) \quad |\mu_l| = \left[ \left( l^2 + 2K\lambda^2 \left( \cos \left( \frac{2\pi ml}{n} \right) - 1 \right) \right)^2 + \lambda^2 l^2 \Gamma^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

which does not vanish if  $\Gamma > 0$ . Thus the mapping  $L_\lambda$  has an inverse satisfying  $L_\lambda^{-1}(e^{ilt}) = \frac{1}{\mu_l} e^{ilt}$ . Since  $L_\lambda^{-1}$  takes  $Y$  onto  $X$ , and since  $X$  is compactly embedded in  $Y$ , we may consider  $L_\lambda^{-1}$  as a mapping from  $Y$  to itself, in which case it is a *compact* mapping. We also note using (14) that

$$(15) \quad \|L_\lambda^{-1}\|_{Y,Y} \leq \max_{l \geq 1} \frac{1}{|\mu_l|} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda \Gamma}.$$

We also define the nonlinear operator  $N : Y \rightarrow Y$  by

$$N(u)(t) = -\sin(t + u(t)) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \sin(s + u(s)) ds.$$

It is easy to see that  $N$  is continuous, and that the range of  $N$  is contained in a bounded ball in  $Y$ , indeed we have

$$\|\sin(t + u(t))\|_{L_2} = \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (\sin(s + u(s)))^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 1,$$

and since  $N(u)$  is the orthogonal projection of  $-\sin(t + u(t))$  into  $Y$ , we have

$$(16) \quad \|N(u)\|_Y \leq 1 \quad \forall u \in Y.$$

We can now rewrite the problem (8),(11),(13) as the fixed-point problem:

$$(17) \quad u = \lambda^2 L_\lambda^{-1} \circ N(u)$$

The operator on the right-hand side is compact by the compactness of  $L_\lambda^{-1}$ , and has a bounded range by (15),(16), so that Schauder's fixed-point theorem implies that (17) has a solution, proving proposition 4 (we note that by a simple bootstrap argument a solution in  $Y$  is in fact smooth). Moreover, defining

$$\Sigma = \{(\lambda, u) \in [0, \infty) \times Y \mid u = \lambda^2 L_\lambda^{-1} \circ N(u)\},$$

Rabinowitz's continuation theorem [6] implies that the connected component of  $\Sigma$  containing  $(\lambda, u) = (0, 0)$ , which we denote by  $C$ , is unbounded in  $[0, \infty) \times Y$ . Since for any  $\lambda_0 > 0$  we have, from (16), (17), the bound  $\|u\|_Y \leq \frac{\lambda_0}{\Gamma}$  for solutions  $(\lambda, u)$  of (17) with  $\lambda \in [0, \lambda_0]$ , the unboundedness of the set  $C$  must be in the  $\lambda$ -direction, that is, there exist  $(\lambda, u) \in C$  with arbitrarily large values of  $\lambda$ . We can now consider the right-hand side of (12) as a functional on  $[0, \infty) \times Y$ :

$$(18) \quad \Phi(\lambda, u) = \frac{\Gamma}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \sin(s + u(s)) ds,$$

and our aim is to prove solvability of the equation

$$\Phi(\lambda, u) = F, \quad (\lambda, u) \in C.$$

We note that by the boundedness of the sine function we have

$$(19) \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0+, (\lambda, u) \in C} \Phi(\lambda, u) = +\infty,$$

$$(20) \quad \limsup_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty, (\lambda, u) \in C} \Phi(\lambda, u) \leq 1.$$

Since  $C$  is a connected set and  $\Phi$  is continuous, (19) implies that

**Proposition 5.** *For any  $F$  satisfying*

$$(21) \quad F > \underline{F} \equiv \inf_{(\lambda, u) \in C} \Phi(\lambda, u),$$

*there exists a travelling wave.*

Since (20) implies that  $\underline{F} \leq 1$ , this proves theorem 1.

We now prove the lower and upper bounds for the period  $T$  of travelling-waves given in proposition 3. These follow from (12) and from

**Proposition 6.** *For any  $(\lambda, u) \in \Sigma$  with  $\lambda > 0$  we have*

$$0 < \frac{\Gamma}{\lambda} < \Phi(\lambda, u) < \frac{\Gamma}{\lambda} + 1.$$

The upper bound follows immediately from the definition (18) of  $\Phi(\lambda, u)$  since  $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \sin(s + u(s)) ds < 1$ . The lower bound follows from the claim that

$$(\lambda, u) \in \Sigma \Rightarrow \int_0^{2\pi} \sin(s + u(s)) ds > 0.$$

To prove this claim we multiply (13) by  $1 + u'(t)$  and integrate over  $[0, 2\pi]$ , noting that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} u\left(s + 2\pi \frac{m}{n}\right) u'(s) ds = \int_0^{2\pi} u(s) u'\left(s - 2\pi \frac{m}{n}\right) ds = - \int_0^{2\pi} u'(s) u\left(s - 2\pi \frac{m}{n}\right) ds,$$

so that we obtain

$$\Gamma \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (u'(s))^2 ds = \lambda \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \sin(s + u(s)) ds.$$

This proves the claim since the right-hand side is non-negative and cannot vanish unless  $u \equiv 0$ , but  $(\lambda, 0) \notin \Sigma$  for  $\lambda > 0$ .

We now turn to the proof of theorem 2.

**Proposition 7.** *Assume  $n$  does not divide  $l$  and  $\Gamma > 0$ . Given any  $\lambda_0 > 0$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $K_0$  such that for  $K \geq K_0$  we have that*

$$(22) \quad \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \sin(s + u(s)) ds \right| < \epsilon \quad \text{if } (\lambda_0, u) \in \Sigma.$$

To see that proposition 7 implies theorem 2, we fix some  $\tilde{F} > 0$  and we choose  $\lambda_0 > \frac{\Gamma}{\tilde{F}}$ . We then set  $\epsilon = \tilde{F} - \frac{\Gamma}{\lambda_0}$  and choose  $K_0$  according to proposition 7, so that (22) holds, which implies that when  $K \geq K_0$  we have  $\Phi(\lambda_0, u) < \tilde{F}$  for any  $u$  with  $(\lambda_0, u) \in C$ . Thus  $\underline{F} < \tilde{F}$ , where  $\underline{F}$  is defined by (21), so proposition 5 implies the existence of a travelling wave for any  $F \geq \tilde{F}$ .

We now prove proposition 7. Let  $\lambda_0 > 0$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Assume  $(\lambda_0, u) \in \Sigma$ , so that (17) holds with  $\lambda = \lambda_0$ . Let  $(m, n)$  denote the greatest common divisor of  $m, n$  and let

$$p = \frac{m}{(m, n)}, \quad q = \frac{n}{(m, n)}.$$

Since we assume  $n$  does not divide  $m$  we have  $q \geq 2$ . Let  $Y_0$  be the subspace of  $Y$  consisting of  $\frac{2\pi}{q}$ -periodic functions, and let  $Y_1$  be its orthogonal complement in  $Y$ . We denote by  $P$  the orthogonal projection of  $Y$  to  $Y_0$ . Setting

$$u_0 = P(u), \quad u_1 = (I - P)(u),$$

we have  $u = u_0 + u_1$  with  $u_0 \in Y_0$ ,  $u_1 \in Y_1$ . Applying  $P$  and  $I - P$  to (17), and noting that  $L_\lambda$  commutes with  $P$ , we have

$$(23) \quad u_0 = \lambda_0^2 L_{\lambda_0}^{-1} \circ P \circ N(u_0 + u_1)$$

$$(24) \quad u_1 = \lambda_0^2 L_{\lambda_0}^{-1} \circ (I - P) \circ N(u_0 + u_1)$$

We will now use (14) to derive a bound for  $\|L_{\lambda_0}^{-1}|_{Y_1}\|_{Y_1, Y_1}$  which goes to 0 as  $K \rightarrow \infty$ . We note that

$$(25) \quad \|L_{\lambda_0}^{-1}|_{Y_1}\|_{Y_1, Y_1} \leq \max_{l \geq 1, q \nmid l} \frac{1}{|\mu_l|},$$

so we need to find lower bounds for the  $|\mu_l|$ 's for which  $q$  does not divide  $l$ . We define

$$\rho = \max_{l \geq 1, q \nmid l} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi pl}{q}\right)$$

and note that since  $p, q$  are coprime we have  $\rho < 1$ .

We define

$$\alpha = 2K\lambda_0^2(1 - \rho) - \sqrt{K},$$

and we shall henceforth assume that  $K$  is sufficiently large so that  $\alpha > 0$ . For each  $l \geq 1$  we have either  $l^2 < \alpha$  or  $l^2 \geq \alpha$ , and we treat each of these cases separately.



(1) In case  $l^2 < \alpha$ , we have

$$l^2 + 2K\lambda_0^2(\rho - 1) < -\sqrt{K},$$

and by the definition of  $\rho$

$$\cos\left(\frac{2\pi ml}{n}\right) = \cos\left(\frac{2\pi pl}{q}\right) \leq \rho,$$

so that

$$l^2 + 2K\lambda_0^2\left(\cos\left(\frac{2\pi ml}{n}\right) - 1\right) < -\sqrt{K},$$

which by (14) implies

$$(26) \quad |\mu_l| > \sqrt{K}.$$

(2) In case  $l^2 \geq \alpha$ , we have, since (14) implies  $|\mu_l| > \lambda_0 \Gamma l$ :

$$(27) \quad |\mu_l| \geq \lambda_0 \Gamma \sqrt{\alpha} = \lambda_0 \Gamma \left[ 2K\lambda_0^2(1 - \rho) - \sqrt{K} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

From (26),(27) we obtain that  $\lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} |\mu_l| = +\infty$  uniformly with respect to  $l \geq 1$  which are not multiples of  $q$ , hence by (25)

$$\lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} \|L_{\lambda_0}^{-1}|_{Y_1}\|_{Y_1, Y_1} = 0.$$

In particular we may choose  $K_0$  such that for  $K \geq K_0$  we will have

$$\|L_{\lambda_0}^{-1}|_{Y_1}\|_{Y_1, Y_1} < \frac{\epsilon}{\lambda_0^2}.$$

By (24) and (16) this implies

$$(28) \quad \|u_1\|_Y \leq \epsilon.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \sin(s + u(s)) ds \right| &\leq \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \sin(s + u_0(s)) ds \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} [\sin(s + u(s)) - \sin(s + u_0(s))] ds \right| \\ (29) \quad &\leq \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \sin(s + u_0(s)) ds \right| + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |u_1(s)| ds \end{aligned}$$

From (28) and the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality we have

$$(30) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |u_1(s)| ds \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left( \int_0^{2\pi} (u_1(s))^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \epsilon.$$

From trigonometry we have

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \sin(s + u_0(s)) ds = \int_0^{2\pi} \sin(s) \cos(u_0(s)) ds + \int_0^{2\pi} \cos(s) \sin(u_0(s)) ds,$$

but the functions  $\cos(u_0(s))$ ,  $\sin(u_0(s))$  are  $\frac{2\pi}{q}$ -periodic with  $q \geq 2$ , which implies that they are orthogonal to  $\cos(s)$ ,  $\sin(s)$ , so that we have

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \sin(s + u_0(s)) ds = 0,$$

which together with (29) and (30) implies (22), concluding the proof of proposition 7.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] C. Baesens & R.S. MacKay, *Gradient dynamics of tilted Frenkel-Kontorova models*, Nonlinearity **11** (1998) 949-964.
- [2] C. Baesens & R.S. MacKay, *A novel preserved partial order for cooperative networks of units with overdamped second-order dynamics and application to tilted Frenkel-Kontorova chains*, Nonlinearity, to appear.
- [3] M. Levi, *Dynamics of discrete Frenkel-Kontorova models*, in *Analysis, et cetera*, ed. P. Rabinowitz & E. Zehnder, Academic Press (Boston), 1990.
- [4] M. Levi, F.C. Hoppensteadt & W.L. Miranker, *Dynamics of the Josephson junction*, Quarterly, Appl. Math. **36** (2), 167-198.
- [5] R. Mirollo, N. Rosen, Existence, *Uniqueness, and Nonuniqueness of Single-Wave-Form Solutions to Josephson Junction Systems*, SIAM J. Appl. Math **60** (2000), 1471-1501.
- [6] P. Rabinowitz, *Some global results for nonlinear eigenvalue problems*, J. Functional Analysis **7** (1971), 487-513.
- [7] T. Strunz & F.J. Elmer, *Driven Frenkel-Kontorova model: I. Uniform sliding states and dynamical domains of different particle densities*, Phys. Rev. E **58** (1998), 1601-1611.
- [8] S. Watanabe, H.S.J. van der Zant, S. Strogatz, & T.P. Orlando, *Dynamics of circular arrays of Josephson junctions and the discrete sine-Gordon equation*, Physica D **97** (1996), 429-470.
- [9] Z. Zheng, B. Hu & G. Hu, *Resonant steps and spatiotemporal dynamics in the damped dc-driven Frenkel-Kontorova chain*, Physical Review B **58** (1998), 5453-5461.

EINSTEIN INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, THE HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM, JERUSALEM, 91904, ISRAEL

*E-mail address:* haggaik@wowmail.com