# Universally Typical Sets for Ergodic Sources of Multidimensional Data

#### Tyll Krüger, Guido Montufar, Ruedi Seiler and Rainer Siegmund-Schultze

 $\rm http://arxiv.org/abs/1105.0393$ 

• data modeled by stationary/ergodic random process

- data modeled by stationary/ergodic random process
- lossless: algorithm ensurs exact reconstruction

- data modeled by stationary/ergodic random process
- lossless: algorithm ensurs exact reconstruction
- main idea (Shannon): encode typical but small set

- data modeled by stationary/ergodic random process
- lossless: algorithm ensurs exact reconstruction
- main idea (Shannon): encode typical but small set
- *universal*: algorithm does not involve specific properties of random process.

- data modeled by stationary/ergodic random process
- lossless: algorithm ensurs exact reconstruction
- main idea (Shannon): encode typical but small set
- *universal*: algorithm does not involve specific properties of random process.
- main idea: construction of *universally typical sets*.

$$(x_1^n)$$
 with  $-\frac{1}{n}\log\mu(x_1^n) \sim h(\mu)$ 

have the Asymptotic Equipartition Property:

٠

• all  $(x_1^n)$  have the same probability  $e^{-nh(\mu)}$ 

$$(x_1^n)$$
 with  $-\frac{1}{n}\log\mu(x_1^n) \sim h(\mu)$ 

have the Asymptotic Equipartition Property:

- all  $(x_1^n)$  have the same probability  $e^{-nh(\mu)}$
- small size  $e^{nh(\mu)}$  but still

٠

$$(x_1^n)$$
 with  $-\frac{1}{n}\log\mu(x_1^n) \sim h(\mu)$ 

have the Asymptotic Equipartition Property:

- all  $(x_1^n)$  have the same probability  $e^{-nh(\mu)}$
- small size  $e^{nh(\mu)}$  but still
- nearly full measure

.

$$(x_1^n)$$
 with  $-\frac{1}{n}\log\mu(x_1^n) \sim h(\mu)$ 

have the Asymptotic Equipartition Property:

- all  $(x_1^n)$  have the same probability  $e^{-nh(\mu)}$
- small size  $e^{nh(\mu)}$  but still
- nearly full measure

.

• output sequences with higher or smaler probability than  $e^{-nh(\mu)}$  will rarely be observed.

### Shannon-Mcmillan-Briman

 $\mathbbm{Z}$  -ergodic processes:

• 
$$-\frac{1}{n}\log\mu(x_1^n) \to h(\mu).$$

• in probability (Shannon)

## Shannon-Mcmillan-Briman

 $\mathbbm{Z}$  -ergodic processes:

• 
$$-\frac{1}{n}\log\mu(x_1^n) \to h(\mu).$$

- in probability (Shannon)
- pointwise almost surely (Mcmillan, Briman)

# Shannon-Mcmillan-Briman

 $\mathbbm{Z}$  -ergodic processes:

• 
$$-\frac{1}{n}\log\mu(x_1^n) \to h(\mu).$$

- in probability (Shannon)
- pointwise almost surely (Mcmillan, Briman)
- amenable groups,  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  (Kiefer, Ornstein and Weiss)

### Notation

d-dimensional:

•  $\Lambda_n := \{(i_1, \dots, i_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d_+ : 0 \le i_j \le n - 1, j \in \{1, \dots, d\}\}$ 

## Notation

d-dimensional:

- $\Lambda_n := \{(i_1, \dots, i_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d_+ : 0 \le i_j \le n 1, j \in \{1, \dots, d\}\}$
- $\Sigma^n := \mathcal{A}^{\Lambda_n}, \ \Sigma = \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}^d}, \ \mathcal{A}$  finite alphabet

# Result

#### Theorem (Universally-typical-sets)

For any given  $h_0$  with  $0 < h_0 \leq \log(|\mathcal{A}|)$  one can construct a sequence of subsets  $\{\mathcal{T}_n(h_0) \subset \Sigma^n\}_n$  such that for all  $\mu \in \mathbb{P}_{erg}$  with  $h(\mu) < h_0$  the following holds:

1. 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mu_n \left( \mathcal{T}_n(h_0) \right) = 1,$$

# Result

#### Theorem (Universally-typical-sets)

For any given  $h_0$  with  $0 < h_0 \leq \log(|\mathcal{A}|)$  one can construct a sequence of subsets  $\{\mathcal{T}_n(h_0) \subset \Sigma^n\}_n$  such that for all  $\mu \in \mathbb{P}_{erg}$  with  $h(\mu) < h_0$  the following holds:

1. 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mu_n \left( \mathcal{T}_n(h_0) \right) = 1,$$

2. 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log |\mathcal{T}_n(h_0)|}{n^d} = h_0.$$

# Result

#### Theorem (Universally-typical-sets)

For any given  $h_0$  with  $0 < h_0 \leq \log(|\mathcal{A}|)$  one can construct a sequence of subsets  $\{\mathcal{T}_n(h_0) \subset \Sigma^n\}_n$  such that for all  $\mu \in \mathbb{P}_{erg}$  with  $h(\mu) < h_0$  the following holds:

- 1.  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \mu_n \left( \mathcal{T}_n(h_0) \right) = 1,$
- 2.  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log |\mathcal{T}_n(h_0)|}{n^d} = h_0.$
- 3. optimal

• for each 
$$x \in \Sigma$$
 empirical measures  $\left\{ \tilde{\mu}_x^{k,n} \right\}_{k \le n}$  on  $\mathcal{A}^{\Lambda_k}$ .

Explain empirical measure  $\left\{ \tilde{\mu}_x^{k,n} \right\}$  by a drawing (black bord)

• for each 
$$x \in \Sigma$$
 empirical measures  $\left\{ \tilde{\mu}_x^{k,n} \right\}_{k \le n}$  on  $\mathcal{A}^{\Lambda_k}$ .

• 
$$\mathcal{T}_n(h_0) := \prod_n \{ x \in \Sigma : \frac{1}{k^d} H(\tilde{\mu}_x^{k,n}) \le h_0 \}$$

Explain empirical measure  $\left\{ \tilde{\mu}_x^{k,n} \right\}$  by a drawing (black bord)

- for each  $x \in \Sigma$  empirical measures  $\left\{ \tilde{\mu}_x^{k,n} \right\}_{k \leq n}$  on  $\mathcal{A}^{\Lambda_k}$ .
- $\mathcal{T}_n(h_0) := \prod_n \{ x \in \Sigma : \frac{1}{k^d} H(\tilde{\mu}_x^{k,n}) \le h_0 \}$

• 
$$k^d \leq \frac{1}{1+\epsilon} \log_{|\mathcal{A}|} n^d, \quad \epsilon > 0$$

Explain empirical measure  $\left\{\tilde{\mu}_x^{k,n}\right\}$  by a drawing (black bord)

- for each  $x \in \Sigma$  empirical measures  $\left\{ \tilde{\mu}_x^{k,n} \right\}_{k \leq n}$  on  $\mathcal{A}^{\Lambda_k}$ .
- $\mathcal{T}_n(h_0) := \prod_n \{ x \in \Sigma : \frac{1}{k^d} H(\tilde{\mu}_x^{k,n}) \le h_0 \}$

• 
$$k^d \le \frac{1}{1+\epsilon} \log_{|\mathcal{A}|} n^d$$
,  $\epsilon > 0$ 

• 
$$\limsup \frac{\log |\mathcal{T}_n(h_0)|}{n^d} \le h_0$$

Explain empirical measure  $\left\{\tilde{\mu}_x^{k,n}\right\}$  by a drawing (black bord)

Theorem (Empirical-Entropy Theorem) Let  $\mu \in \mathbb{P}_{erg}$ . For any sequence  $\{k_n\}$  satisfying  $k_n \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} \infty$  and  $k_n^d = o(n^d)$  we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{k_n^d} H(\tilde{\mu}_x^{k_n, n}) = h(\mu) \,, \quad \mu\text{-almost surely} \,.$$

### Main references

- Paul C. Shields: The Ergodic Theory of Discrete Sample Paths, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Vol.13 AMS.
- Article to appear in KYBERNETICA

### **Background Material**

#### Lemma (Packing Lemma)

Consider for any fixed  $0 < \delta \leq 1$  integers k and m related through  $k \geq d \cdot m/\delta$ . Let  $C \subset \Sigma^m$  and  $x \in \Sigma$  with the property that  $\tilde{\mu}_{x,overl}^{m,k}(C) \geq 1 - \delta$ . Then, there exists  $\mathbf{p} \in \Lambda_m$  such that: a)  $\tilde{\mu}_x^{\mathbf{p},m,k}(C) \geq 1 - 2\delta$ , and also b)  $\lfloor \frac{k}{m} \rfloor^d \tilde{\mu}_x^{\mathbf{p},m,k}(C) \geq (1-4)\delta(\lfloor \frac{k}{m} \rfloor + 2)^d$ .

#### Theorem

Given any  $\mu \in \mathbb{P}_{erg}$  and any  $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$  we have the following:

• For all k larger than some  $k_0 = k_0(\alpha)$  there is a set  $\mathcal{T}_k(\alpha) \subset \Sigma^k$  satisfying

$$\frac{\log |\mathcal{T}_k(\alpha)|}{k^d} \le h(\mu) + \alpha \,,$$

and such that for  $\mu$ -a.e. x the following holds:

$$\tilde{\mu}_x^{k,n}\left(\mathcal{T}_k(\alpha)\right) > 1 - \alpha\,,$$

for all n and k such that  $\frac{k}{n} < \varepsilon$  for some  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(\alpha) > 0$  and n larger than some  $n_0(x)$ .

• (optimality)

#### Definition (Entropy-typical-sets)

Let  $\delta < \frac{1}{2}$ . For some  $\mu$  with entropy rate  $h(\mu)$  the *entropy-typical-sets* are defined as:

$$C_m(\delta) := \left\{ x \in \Sigma^m : 2^{-m^d(h(\mu) + \delta)} \le \mu^m(\{x\}) \le 2^{-m^d(h(\mu) - \delta)} \right\}.$$
(1)

We will use these sets as basic sets for the typical-sampling-sets defined below.

#### Definition (Typical-sampling-sets)

Consider some  $\mu$ . Consider some  $\delta < \frac{1}{2}$ . For  $k \geq m$ , we define a *typical-sampling-set*  $\mathcal{T}_k(\delta, m)$  as the set of elements in  $\Sigma^k$  that have a regular *m*-block partition such that the resulting words belonging to the  $\mu$ -entropy-typical-set  $C_m = C_m(\delta)$  contribute at least a  $(1 - \delta)$ -fraction to the (slightly modified) number of partition elements in that regular *m*-block partition, more precisely, they occupy at least a  $(1 - \delta)$ -fraction of all sites in  $\Lambda_k$ 

$$\mathcal{T}_{k}(\delta,m) := \left\{ x \in \Sigma^{k} : \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{r} \in m \cdot \mathbb{Z}^{d}:\\ (\Lambda_{m} + \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{p}) \cap \Lambda_{k} \neq \emptyset}} \mathbf{1}_{[C_{m}]}(\sigma_{\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{p}} x) \ge (1 - \delta) \left(\frac{k}{m}\right)^{a} \text{ for some} \right.$$